

Transportation for Livability by Integrating Vehicles, Drivers and the Environment:

What is the Level of Volatility in Instantaneous Driving Decisions?



Asad Khattak, Ph.D.

Beaman Professor of CEE, University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Research conducted as:



Frank Batten Endowed Chair Professor, Old Dominion University Editor in Chief, Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems



Collaborators: Dr. Xin Wang, Jun Liu, Golnush Masghati-Amoli, Sanghoon Son

Tier 1 University Transportation Center



- Competitively awarded UTC, focused on energy and environment
- Collaborative Partners include:
 - University of Idaho
 - Old Dominion University
 - Texas Southern University
 - Virginia Tech
 - Syracuse University
- Thanks to USDOT









Background

- AERIS: Sustainability & Intelligent Trans Sys
- Volatile driving → fuel consumption, tailpipe emissions, safety issues
- Understanding instantaneous driving decisions
 Big data enabling deeper insights
- How to properly measure volatility in drivers' instantaneous driving decisions?

Volatility profile for driver, road, region



Literature: Speed vs. Emissions



Figure 4: CO2 vs. average speed curve for smooth and transient driving

http://www.epa.gov/otaq/models/moves/MOVES2010a/paper137-tap2010.pdf

Lit: Speed vs. Fuel consumption



Figure 66. Graph. Fuel consumption for different acceleration rates for performance index 1.

http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/trafficanalysistools/tat_vol4/app_a.htm

Possible relationship: Speed vs. Crashes



Aarts, L. and I. van Schagen (2006). "Driving speed and the risk of road crashes: A review." <u>Accident Analysis & Prevention 38(2): 215-224.</u>

"Big" Data

- Atlanta Regional Commission Regional Travel Survey with GPS Sub-Sample, Feb. – Oct. 2011
- Full sample
 - 10,278 households, 25,810 Persons, 21,270 Vehicles, 93,713
 Trips
- GPS sub-sample
 - 51,371 trips with sec-by-sec GPS tag \rightarrow 36 million sec
 - 1652 drivers from 850 households



Big Data→ Knowledge



Visualization: Describing data

Big Data: Process and extract

Data structure

- Household
- Person
- Vehicle
- Trip

Geo-codes, road type, congestion info—NOT available

- *GPS data for each trip (used in this study)
- *Micro-trip (future work)

Note: * Available for GPS subsample

Conceptual framework



Methodology: Jerk to measure volatility

• How jerk works-amplify oscillations



Methodology: Vehicle jerking decisions

Speed (mph)



Note: j=jerk; ai=acceleration at time i; ai+1=acceleration at time i+1

1	SPEED (mph)	Acc (mph/s)	jerk (mph/s2)	volatil MO e NT	DA Y	YEAR	TIME	Slope (%)	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	VSP (kW/ton)	Modo VSP	CO ₂ (g/s)	CO (g/s)	NOX (g/s)	HC (g/s)	Fuel (1/s)	Fuel (gll/km
2	0			Mar	14,	2013	19:22:41	0	36.85427	-76.28715	0	3	1.1354	0.0033	0.0003	0.0004	0.00042	0.000105
3	0.625	0.625		Mar	14,	2013	19:22:42	0	36.85427	-76.28715	0.12155	3	1.1354	0.0033	0.0003	0.0004	0.00042	0.000105
4	2.5	1.875	1.25	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:43	0	36.85427	-76.28718	1.165599	4	2,2333	0.0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207
5	2.5	0	-1.875	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:44	0	36.85427	-76.28718	0.147081	3	1.1354	0.0033	0.0003	0.0004	0.00042	0.000105
6	8.75	6.25	6.25	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:45	0	36.85428	-76.28724	12.41381	7	4.1075	0.02	0.0031	0.0008	0.00152	0.000381
7	13.125	4.375	-1.875	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:46	0	36.85428	-76.28731	13.3068	8	4, 635	0.0292	0.0042	0.001	0.00171	0.00043
8	16.25	3, 125	-1.25	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:47	0	36.8543	-76.28748	12.10105	7	4.1075	0.02	0.0031	0.0008	0.00152	0.000381
9	16.25	0	-3.125	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:48	0	36.8543	-76.28748	1.067101	4	2,2333	0.0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207
10	19, 375	3, 125	3, 125	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:49	0	36.85431	-76.28769	14.48537	8	4, 635	0.0292	0.0042	0.001	0.00171	0.00043
11	20	0.625	-2.5	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:50	0	36.85431	-76.28779	4.101487	5	2,9199	0.011	0.0017	0.0005	0.00108	0.000271
12	21.875	1.875	1.25	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:51	0	36.85432	-76.2879	10.4729	7	4.1075	0.02	0.0031	0.0008	0.00152	0.000381
13	21.875	0	-1.875	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:52	0	36.85432	-76.2879	1.560859	4	2,2333	0.0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207
14	24.375	2.5	2.5	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:53	0	36.85433	-76.28802	15.05471	8	4, 635	0.0292	0.0042	0.001	0.00171	0.00043
15	24.375	0	-2.5	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:54	0	36.85433	-76.28802	1.813966	4	2,2333	0.0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207
16	28, 125	3.75	3.75	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:55	0	36.85436	-76.28832	25.15651	11	6.5348	0.1138	0.0076	0.0021	0.00241	0.000606
17	29, 375	1.25	-2.5	1 Mar	14,	2013	19:22:56	0	36.85436	-76.28856	10.37377	7	4.1075	0.02	0.0031	0.0008	0.00152	0.000381
18	30	0.625	-0.625	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:57	0	36.85436	-76.28871	6.549926	5	2,9199	0.011	0.0017	0.0005	0.00108	0.000271
19	29, 375	-0.625	-1.25	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:58	0	36.85439	-76.28886	-1.59383	2	1.458	0.0039	0.0006	0.0003	0.00054	0.000135
20	30, 625	1.25	1.875	Mar	14,	2013	19:22:59	0	36.8544	-76.289	10.8761	7	4.1075	0.02	0.0031	0.0008	0.00152	0.000381
21	31.875	1.25	0	Mar	14,	2013	19:23:00	0	36.85438	-76.28918	11.38605	7	4, 1075	0.02	0.0031	0.0008	0.00152	0.000381
22	31.875	0	-1.25	Mar	14,	2013	19:23:01	0	36.85438	-76.28932	2. 728638	4	2.2333	0.0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207
23	31.875	0	0		1.4	0010	10.00.00	0	96 OF4	_72 00040	0 700200	4	0.0000	0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207
24	31.875	0	0					1				-1		0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207
25	31.875	0	0		-	1	and the second se		1 mm	7		P-10		0083	0.0012	0.0004	0.00082	0.000207



7% - idling & speed<5 mph 47% -acceleration 41% -deceleration 5% -maintaining speed

Volatility: REGIONAL LEVEL





Volatility Profile: REGIONAL LEVEL

Negative jerk compared with positive jerk (53.79% vs. 40.83%).



Time Spent Percentage on Vehicle Jerking

Sample Size: 36,715,308 secon

Volatility Profile: REGIONAL LEVEL Speed vs. Vehicular jerk



Volatility Profile: REGIONAL LEVEL ACCEL/DECEL-Bands

All Vehicle Accel/Decel Distributions



Sample Size: 36,715,308 sec

Volatility Profile: REGIONAL LEVEL ACCEL/DECEL-Bands in 3D



Volatility Profile: REGIONAL LEVEL Jerk+/Jerk- Bands



Sample Size: 36,715,308 seconds



Volatility Profile: Individual Driver



Sample Size: 27,505 seconds

Volatility Profile: Compare Drivers



Volatility profile: Trip Level Volatility Score for each trip

- For each second of the trip, tag volatile second when <u>Acceleration/Jerk is out of the band range</u>
- For each trip, calculate % of time tagged as "volatile" seconds





Red Area

Volatility Score: Decision points



Jerk better captures critical decision points volatility score - 8.6% using jerk bands - 6.1% using acceleration bands

Volatility score: subsample

- Sample: Pick one weekday for each person
 - 543 households
 - 843 drivers
 - 857 vehicles
 - 3962 trips



Results: Descriptive Stats & ANOVA

		COMMUTE TRIPS						NON-COMMUTE TRIPS				
Variable List	Level		Volatility Score		ANOVA	ANOVA	(% in	Volatility Score		ANOVA AN	ANOVA	
		(% in sample)	Mean	SD	P-value	F-test	sample)	Mean	SD	P-value	F-test	
	Gender	Male (43%)	10.59	6.54	base	0.035	(55%)	9.81	6.79	base	0.000	
Person		Female (57%)	11.35	6.59	0.035		(45%)	11.35	7.21	0.000		
Variable		16-24yrs (7.5%)	14.23	6.81	base	0.000	(6.0%)	11.82	6.62	base	0.002	
(N=507 for		25-34yrs (11.9%)	12.03	6.51	0.007		(8.3%)	11.31	7.72	0.480		
commute,		35-44yrs (27.4%)	11.83	7.13	0.001		(20.1%)	11.11	7.01	0.260		
N=722 for non-	Age	45-54yrs (31.9%)	10.24	6.36	0.000		(30.3%)	10.62	7.02	0.049		
commute)		55-64yrs(16.4%)	9.70	5.51	0.000		(22.8%)	10.46	7.32	0.029		
		>65yrs (5%)	8.69	5.01	0.000		(12.5%)	9.41	6.36	0.000		
	Auto-Sedan	(44%)	11.6	6.66	base	0.000	(42%)	11.4	7.58	base	0.000	
Vehicle Type	Two Seated	(4%)	13.7	8.60	0.022		(3%)	12.59	6.56	0.125		
(N=507 for	Van	(9%)	9.84	5.91	0.914		(12%)	10.97	6.31	0.000		
commute,	RV	(0.2%)	6.91	4.90	0.151		(0.1%)	11.16	6.14	0.953		
N=736 for non-	SUV	(28%)	10.38	6.41	0.002		(28%)	10.06	6.51	0.000		
commute)	Station Wagon	(2%)	13.61	7.40	0.0122		(2%)	17.07	9.31	0.000		
	Pickup	(13%)	10.47	5.58	0.000		(12%)	9,93	5.83	0.000		
	Gasoline	(96%)	11.01	6.46	base		(97%)	10.65	7.09	base	0.146	
Vehicle Fuel	Diesel	(2%)	9.91	5.60	0.390	0.000	(1%)	4.5	4.57	0.062		
Туре	Hybrid	(2%)	15	10.57	0.003	0.000	(1%)	12.34	7.58	0.226		
	Flex Fuel	(0.5%)	11.69	3.97	0.000		(1%)	12.13	5.73	0.350		
	AM Rush	(41%)	11.21	6.69	0.299	0.755	(26%)	10.99	7.16	0.083	0.292	
Trin Variable	Lunch Rush	(6%)	10.78	5.78	0.956		(7%)	10.3	6.99	0.868		
(N=1369 for	PM Rush	(29%)	11.03	6.37	0.550		(29%)	10.77	7.14	0.257		
commute.	Non Rush	(24%)	9.74	6.82	Base		(37%)	10.39	6.94	Base		
N=2702 for non-		0-15mile (78.1%)	11.75	6.92	Base	0.000	(88.4%)	11.05	7.24	Base	0.000	
commute)	Distance	15-30mile(15.3%)	8.91	4.58	0.000		(8.3%)	8.05	4.95	0.000		
		30-45mile(5.4%)	7.73	3.50	0.000		(2.4%)	7.09	3.33	0.000		
		>45mile(1.2%)	5.59	1.34	0.001		(0.8%)	5.18	3.83	0.000		

Average Volatility Score: 11% for both commute and non-commute trips

Simple OLS model

Variable	List	Coefficient	P-Value					
	Gender: Female	0.747	0.000					
	Age: 25-34yrs	-0.634	0.119					
Person	Age: 35-44yrs	-0.657	0.058					
Variable	Age: 45-54yrs	-1.399	0.000					
	Age: 55-64yrs	-2.018	0.000					
	Age: >65yrs	-2.880	0.000					
	Two Seated	1.419	0.025					
	Van	-1.518	0.000					
Vahiele Ture	RV	-3.478	0.155					
venicie Type	SUV	-1.241	0.000					
	Station Wagon	4.266	0.000					
	Pickup	-1.946	0.000					
	Diesel	-0.234	0.403					
Vehicle Fuel Type	Hybrid	2.290	0.057					
	Flex Fuel	-0.765	0.650					
Trip Distance	Trip Distance	-0.127	0.000					
Constant		13.291	0.000					
Number of obs = 3962. Prob > F = 0.000. R-squared = 0.086								

- Samples in this model are not independent
 - Same drivers make multiple trips
 - Members of same household not independent

 $V = \beta' X + e$

Hierarchical Structure



Simplified Hierarchical structure



Test 2 Layers - constant only model

Drivers differ in average volatility scores \rightarrow inter-person differences Inter-person variance nearly the same as inter-trip variance



2 Layers model – add person level variables



LR test vs. linear regression: chibar2(01) = 1114.48 Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

2 Layers model – add trip level variable

Cov (negative) sig. \rightarrow evidence that association of volatility & trip distance is related to average volatility score of driver



Test 3 layers- Constant only model

Households differ in their average volatility score;

Drivers differ in their average volatility within HH;

More Variance among different trips



3962



Implications: Volatility is useful...

- Regional level:
 - how people drive \rightarrow traffic operations
 - 7% idling, 47% acceleration, 41% deceleration, 5% constant speed
- Individual level:
 - how each person compares with driving practices of sample → identify volatile drivers
- Trip level:
 - how a driver performs on a particular trip→ design intelligent driver feedback systems

Conclusions: All about Volatility

- What is Volatility?
 - It is a score for each trip
 - % of time driver jerks vehicle during trip
- How to properly measure volatility?
 - Using a regional jerk profile (Mean +/- SD)
- What is the level of volatility in discrete driving decisions?
 - On average, 11% of the time (based on 36 million seconds)

Conclusions

• What are the correlates of volatile instantaneous driving decisions?

-Females younger people, people driving station wagon or 2-seat sports car people driving SUV and pickup

- Shorter trip
- Rush hour does not associate with volatility

Conclusions

- Simple hierarchical model:
 - Quantifies inter-person differences
 - Quantifies inter-trip differences exist
 - Association of trip level variables (e.g., trip length) with volatility may be related to a person's past behavior and attributes
- On-going research
 - To find variables that better explain relationships

Contributions

- Driver's volatile instantaneous decisions: Analyzing large-scale GPS data
- Generate Volatility Score for each trip
- Understand typical driving practices in a metropolitan area
 - Time used to ACCEL/DECEL
 - Variance in ACCEL/DECEL/Jerk
 - Relationships: Speeds vs. ACCEL/DECEL/Jerk

Limitations & future work

- Limitations
 - Some variables not available to researchers, e.g., geo-codes, type of roads, congestion
 - Accuracy of GPS data (constrained to 7-14 ft.)

- Future work
 - Modeling volatility (hierarchical models)
 - Explore role of technology, environment & land use
 - Comparative studies in different regions/states