Station Bulletin 105 January 2017

Economic Contributions of Idaho's Forest Products Industry 2015


\$1.3 Billion to Idaho's Gross State Product More than **17,000** jobs | **\$18** Million in Tax Revenue

Forest	Harvest	Wood Products	Total Forestry,		
Management	Operations	& Paper Mfg	Harvest & Mfg		
2,400	3,350	9,000	14,750		
Direct Jobs	Direct Jobs	Direct Jobs	Direct Jobs		
\$120M	\$120M	\$515M	\$755M		
Labor Income	Labor Income	Labor Income	Labor Income		
250	325	1,800	2,375		
Support Jobs	Support Jobs	Support Jobs	Support Jobs		
\$12M	\$12M	\$103M	\$127M		
Support Income	Support Income	Support Income	Support Income		
Inc	ome from Tim	nber Sales			

Idaho Forest, Wildlife and Range Experiment Station Moscow, Idaho

DIRECTOR Kurt S. Pregitzer, Ph.D.

University of Idaho College of Natural Resources




This report is a product of the: **Policy Analysis Group**

College of Natural Resources, University of Idaho, Moscow ID 83844 (208) 885-5776 | www.uidaho.edu/cnr/PAG Idaho's forest products industry contribute \$1.3 billion to Gross State Product. Most of Idaho's forest product exports are used in housing construction, making the industry vulnerable to recessions. Developing new markets and value-added forest products businesses within the state could improve economic resiliency.

Timber Harvest & Gross State Product (GSP)





Sources: Policy Analysis Group, University of Idaho; U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management; U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs; Idaho Department of Lands; USDA Forest Service, cut and sold reports for national forests; U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, regional accounts data.

Industry Contribution by County

County	Timber Harvest	Harvest Income	FPI GSP*	County	Timber Harvest	Harvest Income	FPI GSP*	
Ada	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%	Gem	0.3%	0.0%	0.6%	
Adams	2.6%	2.7%	1.5%	Gooding	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	
Bannock	0.1%	0.0%	1.2%	Idaho	11.1%	8.0%	1.8%	
Bear Lake	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	Jefferson	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	
Benewah	7.1%	8.7%	5.2%	Jerome	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	
Bingham	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	Kootenai	7.6%	6.8%	9.1%	
Blaine	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	Latah	8.4%	9.9%	4.3%	
Boise	2.8%	2.8%	0.2%	Lemhi	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	
Bonner	8.5%	9.2%	6.2%	Lewis	1.0%	1.1%	3.0%	
Bonneville	0.2%	0.0%	1.8%	Lincoln	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Boundary	4.0%	4.1%	5.4%	Madison	2.2%	0.2%	0.1%	
Butte	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Minidoka	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	
Camas	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	Nez Perce	0.5%	0.8%	22.9%	
Canyon	0.0%	0.0%	9.7%	Oneida	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Caribou	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	Owyhee	0.1%	0.1%	1.1%	
Cassia	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	Payette	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	
Clark	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	Power	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	
Clearwater	22.9%	25.4%	2.3%	Shoshone	14.5%	16.3%	0.6%	
Custer	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	Teton	0.5%	0.4%	0.1%	
Elmore	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	Twin Falls	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	
Franklin	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Valley	2.5%	3.3%	0.3%	
Fremont	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	Washington	0.1%	0.0%	2.4%	
	*Percentage of state forest products industry (FPI) GSP							

CONTRIBUTORS INCLUDE: Greg Alward, Ph.D., Senior Researcher Philip S. Cook, Principal Researcher

Dennis R. Becker, Ph.D., Director

This publication is issued as contribution No. 1090 of the Idaho Forest, Wildlife and Range Experiment Station, College of Natural Resources, University of Moscow, ID 83844-1132.