No.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

University of Idaho

Whereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

An application requesting a certificate of protection for an alleged novel variety of sexually reproduced, or tuber propagated plant, the name and description of which are contained in the application and exhibits, a copy of which is hereunto annexed and made a part hereof, and the various requirements of law in such cases made and provided have been complied with, and the title thereto is, from the records of the PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, in the applicant(s) indicated in the said copy, and whereas, upon due examination made, the said applicant(s) is (are) adjudged to be entitled to a certificate of plant variety protection under the law.

Now, therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant unto the said applicant(s) and the successors, heirs or assigns of the said applicant(s) for the term of TWENTY years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by law, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, or importing it, or exporting it, or conditioning it for propagation, or stocking it for any of the above purposes or using it in producing a hybrid or different variety there from, to the extent provided by the PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. In the United States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as a class of certified seed and (2) shall conform to the number of generations specified by the owner of the rights. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEO.)



Attest:

Acting Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

WHEAT, COMMON

201700189

'UI Sparrow'

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this twenty-ninth day of January, in the year two thousand and twenty.

Secretary of Agriculture

MAR 30 2017

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and date on all reprodu U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		The followi	na statements i	are made in accordance with It	e Privacy A	ct of 19	Form Approved - OMB No. 0581-00 74 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork	
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE			The following statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995.					
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE (Instructions and information collection burden statement on reverse)			Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).					
1. NAME OF OWNER		2. TEMPO	RARY DESIGN	ATION OR EXPERIMENTAL	NAME	3. VAF	NETYNAME	
University of Idaho			D110	D8DH		U	I Sparrow	
4. ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and ZIP Con		and the second	IONE (include		200	01100	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
University of Idaho Office of Technology 7 875 Perimeter Dr., MS 3003, Moscow, ID			885-45		-	201700189		
83844-3003			885-45	Commence of the second s		FILING		
7. IF THE OWNER NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON", GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION (comporation, partnership, association, etc.)	B. IF INCORPO	DRATED, GI	VE STATE OF	9. DATE OF INCORPORATI	ON		3/30/2017	
10. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER REPRESENTATIVE(S) TO S	SERVE IN THIS		11. TELEPHO	NE (Include area code)		F	FILING AND EDAMINATION FEES:	
APPLICATION. (First person listed will receive all papers) Karen Stevenson, Licensing Associate, University of Ic			208-	885-4550		EES	s 1,302 date 3/30/2017	
Technology Transfer, 875 Perimeter Dr., MS 3003, Mc	oscow, ID 838	44-3003	12. FAX (Inch	ide area code)		RE	CERTIFICATION FEE:	
Jianli Chen, Breeder, University of Idaho R & E Center Aberdeen, ID 83210	r, 1691 S 270	0 W,	208	-885-455	51	с. С.	\$ DATE	
13. E-MAIL								
karens@uidaho.edu	- In-		-		1			
14. CROPKIND (Common Name)	1000 COT - 24						AME (Botanical)	
Soft White Winter Wheat			estivu		Trit		OWNER SPECIFY THAT SEED OF TH	
		ES THE VARIETY CONTAIN ANY BIOTECHNOLOGY S7] YES A NO		VARIET	VARIETY BE SOLD ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIE SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protec			
	construct inte	o a specific s S. Coordinat	ite in a plant's	ngle insertion of a nucleic acid chromosome thal is regulated for the Regulation of	N		res", answor items 21 and 22 below) o", go la item 23)	
19. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBM (Follow instructions)	ITTED	-		ES THE OWNER SPECIFY TI MBER OF CLASSES?			S VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO	
a. Exhibit A. Origin and Breeding History of the Variety								
b. Exhibit B. Statement of Distinctness					FOUNDAT	ION I		
c. Exhibit C. Objective Description of Variety			22. DC	ES THE OWNER SPECIFY TI			S VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMB	
d. X Exhibit D. Additional Description of the Variety (Optional)				NERATIONS?				
e. Exhibit E. Statement of the Basis of the Owner's Ownership			IF YES,	SPECIFY THE NUMBER 1,2,	3, etc. FOR	EACH	CLASS.	
 Filing and Examination Fee (\$4,382), Make checks and money orders payable to "Treasurer of Plant Variety Protection Office) 		s" (Mail to th	e (Il eddi	FOUNDATION	_ REGISTE		CERTIFIED	
 Credit Card Payments (See instructions on Page 2 of 11) HAS THE VARIETY (INCLUDING ANY HARVESTED MATERIAL FROM THIS VARIETY BEEN SOLD, DISPOSED OF, TRANSFERRED 	OR A HYBRID	PRODUCED HE U. S. OF		THE VARIETY OR ANY COMP RTY RIGHT (PLANT BREEDE			ARIETY PROTECTED BY INTELLECT	
			🗆 yes 📕 no					
EACH COUNTRY AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES. (Please use space indicated on next page.)			REFERENCE NUMBER. (Please use space indicated on next page.)					
25. The owners declare that a viable sample of basic seed will be furn accordance with such regulations as may be applicable. For a tuber p repository within three months of the date of the certificate fee request	ropagated variely	or vegelativ	o propagated p	arent of the variety, a tissue cu	ree months o llure or veg	etalive	seed will be replanished upon request sample will be deposited in a public	
The undersigned owner(s) is(are) the owner of this sexually reproduce antilled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Va	d or luber propag mety Protection A	ated plant va ct. Owner(s	anely, and belie) is (are) Inform	ve(s) that the variety is new, d and that false representation he	islinct, unifo erein can jec	m, and pardize	stable as required in Section 42, and i protection and result in penalties.	
SIGNATURE OPOWNER	neo	2	SIGNAT	Sand	d	e	2	
VAME (Please print or type) Karen Stevenson			1.10215	Please print or type) Inli Chen				
CAPACITY OR TITLE				TY OR TITLE		DATE		

ST - 470 (10/2015) Revised by the Plant Varlety Protection Office

MAH 4/10/2019

Page 6 of 11

Continuation Page from ST - 470 (Application for Plant Variety Protection Certificate)

22. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide a statement as to the limitation and sequence of generations that may be certified.

23. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please provide the date of first sale, disposition, transfer, or use for each country and the circumstances, if the variety (including any harvested material) or a hybrid produced from this variety has been sold, disposed of, transferred, or used in the U.S. or other countries.)

24. CONTINUED FROM FRONT (Please give the country, date of filing or issuance, and assigned reference number, if the variety or any component of the variety is protected by intellectual property right (Plant Breeder's Right or Patent).)

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF A AGRICULTURAL MARKE			FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
	NCE AND TECHNOLOGY - PLANT V			PVPO NUMBER		
EZ	XHIBIT A - ORIGIN AND B ** Use additional pages					
1. Name of Owner	<u> </u>	2. Temporary Designation or Experin	nental Name	3. Variety Name		
University of Idaho IDO1108DH UI Sparrow						
UI Sparrow is a F1-derived diha white winter wheat cultivar deriv winter wheat cultivar derived fro common winter wheat released Experiment Station (Zemetra el PchI gene for resistance to stra The F1 was made in the field in	aploid (DH) line from the cross ved from the backcross IDO49 om 'Haven'/Lambert'//'Madsen i jointly by the Idaho Agricultur. t al. 1995). Madsen is a soft wi wbreaker footrot (caused by P 2007 and assigned as A0711	8*2/UT944157, which was released I '. Haven is a soft red biscuit wheat fro al Experiment Station, the Oregon Ag- hite common winter wheat developed seudocercosporella herpotrichoides W. The dihaploid production was cor	336132) using wh by the Idaho Agric om Nickerson See ricultural Experim by the USDA-AR (Fron) Deighton). ducted in greenh	eat by Maize dihaploid method. UI Silver is a hard cultural Experiment Station. Simon is a soft white ad Inc. (formerly PBI), UK. Lambert is a soft white nent Station and Washington Agricultural IS, Pullman, WA (Allan et al. 1989) and carries the ouse in spring 2008. A total of 51 dihaploid		
and all were harvested in summ	ner 2010. All DH lines were pla ne A0711W08DH-30 showed g	anted in a non-replicated trials in Abe	deen and Mosco	were planted in single headrow plots in fall of 2009 w in fall 2010 and evaluated for yield and baking y was selected and assigned as IDO1108 in 2011.		
5. Give the details of subsequer	nt stages of selection and multi	plication. **				
Year 2012 2013 2014-16	replicated yield tri Rockland, ID; Western Regional State Variety Trial	ail of Stage als in Aberdeen and Trials; is in ID, WA, and OR	Selection Criteria Yield, uniformity, disease resistance, and end-use quality; Yield and uniformity, disease resistance; Yield, uniformity, disease resistance, and end-use quality.			
 6. Is the variety uniform? × How did you test for uniformity Visual look 7. Is the variety stable? × 						
How did you test for stability? visual look since 20	Over how many generations?					
8. Are genetic variants observed	d or expected during reproduct	ion and multiplication? X Yes	No			
If yes, state how these variants of UI Sparrow is normal up to 0.1% in the fo 10 kernels per pour	nay be identified, their type an ally brown-chaffed undation seed proc nd in the class of fo	^{d frequency.} spike and white color s duction field. The allowa	eed. The a able red co 25 kernels	llowable white-chaffed spikes is lor seed of this cultivar is up to per pound in the class of seed.		

U SCIENCE AND TI APPLICATION FOR EXHIBIT ** Use additional tables to pr Use additional tables tables tables tables	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER 3. Variety Name UI Sparrow			
Based on overall morphology, UI Sparrow Applicant's new most clearly diff Applicant's new variety Name the specific trait. Then list the value of Evidence in Support of Variety Distinctness i	w variety fers from <i>Most sin</i> that trait for each	<i>nilar comparison variety(id</i> variety in the comparison.		evidence (see the <u>Guidelines for Presenting</u>
Eg. Leaf Pubescence Eg. Leaf Color Eg. Plant Height	heavy pubescen Dark Green (5 200 cm +/- 10	GY 3/4)	glabrous Light Green (2.5GY 8/10) 250 cm +/- 15 cm (N=25)	photograph attached Munsell Color Chart statistics attached
1. Qualitative traits:	+	ew Variety	1 st Comparison Variety Bobtall	Location of Evidence Within the Application
Resistance to dwarf bunt	R		S	
2. Color traits:				
chaff color	Brown		White	
3. Quantitative traits;				
Yield Heading Height	High Later Taller		High Earlier Shorter	See Tables 1 and 2 of the Exhibit D. MAH 4/10/2019
4. Other:				

Form Approved OMB NO 0581-0055

Exhibit C

20

180

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and date on all reproductions.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MD 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

	Wheat (<i>Triticum</i> spp.)	
NAME OF APPLICANT (S) University of Idaho	TEMPORARY OR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNATION	VARIETY NAME UI Sparrow
ADDRESS (Street and No. or RD No., City, State, Zip Code and Cou Karen Stevenson, Licensing Associate, University of Idaho, C Moscow, ID 83844-3003 Jianli Chen, Breeder, University of Idaho R & E Center, 1691 Enter your e-mail address: jchen@uidaho	Office of Technology Transfer, 875 Perimeter Dr., MS 3003, S 2700 W, Aberdeen, ID 83210	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER
	etal character of this variety in the boxes below. Place vely. Data for quantitative plant characters should be l	ased on a minimum of 100 plants. Comparative data color standard may be used to determine plant colors;
1. KIND: <u>1</u> 1 = Common 2 = Durum 3 = Club 4 = Other (Specify)	2. VERNALIZATION: _ 1 = Spring 2 = Winter 3 = Other (2 Specify)
3. COLEOPTILE ANTHOCYANIN: 1	4. JUVENILE PLANT G	ROWTH: 1
1 = Absent 2 = Present	1 = Prost	rate 2 = Semi-Erect 3 = Erect
5. PLANT COLOR: (Boot Stage) 3	6. FLAG LEAF: (Boot S	tage)
1 = Yellow-Green 2 = Green 3 = Blue-Green	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{2} \\ \underline{2} \\ 1 = \text{Erect} \\ 1 = \text{Not Tw} \\ 1 = \text{Wax Al} \end{array}$	
7. EAR EMERGENCE: 167 Number of Days (Average) 1 Number of Days Earlier Than Same As 2 Number of Days Later Than * Bobtail (\$ * Relative to a PN 8. ANTHER COLOR: 1 1 = Yellow	ID)	e Trial

	Exhibit C (Wheat) 001 00189 00189
9. PLANT HEIGHT: (From Soil to Top of Head, Excluding Awns)	00
<u>96</u> cm (Average)	18
8 cm Taller Than Bobtail (N ID)	۵ ۲
Same As Bruneau (N ID)	+ *
cm Shorter Than	*
10. STEM:	
A. ANTHOCYANIN 1 = Absent 2 = Present	D. INTERNODE 1 = Hollow 2 = Semi-Solid 3 = Solid Number of Nodes
B. WAXY BLOOM 1 = Absent 2 = Present	E. PEDUNCLE 1 = Erect 2 = Recurved 3 = Semi-Erect cm Length
C. HAIRINESS (last internode of rachis) 1 = Absent 2 = Present	F. AURICLE 2 Anthocyanin: 1 = Absent 2 = Present 1 Hair: 1 = Absent 2 = Present
11. HEAD: (At Maturity)	
2 A. DENSITY	C. CURVATURE
1 = Lax 2 = Middense (Laxidense) 3 = Dense	1 = Erect 2 = Inclined 3 = Recurved
B. SHAPE	D. AWNEDNESS
1 = Tapering 2 = Strap 3 = Clavate 4 = Other (Specify) Oblong	1 = Awnless 2 = Apically Awnletted 3 = Awnletted 4 = Awned
12. GLUMES: (At Maturity)	
<u>3</u> A. COLOR	2 E. BEAK WIDTH
1 = White 2 = Tan 3 = Other (Specify) brown	1 = Narrow 2 = Medium 3 = Wide
2 B. SHOULDER	3 F. GLUME LENGTH
1 = Wanting $2 =$ Oblique $3 =$ Rounded $4 =$ Square $5 =$ Elevated $6 =$ Apiculate $7 =$ Other (Specify)	1 = Short (ca. 7 mm) 2 = Medium (ca. 8 mm) 3 = Long (ca. 9 mm)
C. SHOULDER WIDTH	_2_ G. WIDTH
1 = Narrow 2 = Medium 3 = Wide	1 = Narrow (ca. 3 mm) 2 = Medium (ca. 3.5 mm) 3 = Wide (ca. 4 mm)
<u>3</u> D. BEAK	1 H. PUBESCENCE
1 = Obtuse 2 = Acute 3 = Acuminate	1 = Not Present 2 = Present

Exhibit C (Wheat) 201700189



14. DISEASE: PLEASE INDICATE THE SPECIFIC RACE OR STRAIN TESTED (0 = Not Tested 1 = Susceptible 2 = Resistant 3 = Intermediate 4 = Tolerant)

Stem Rust (Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici)	Race: SrTmp
Leaf Rust (<i>Puccinia recondita</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i>)	Race:
2_ Stripe Rust (Puccinia striiformis)	Race: mixed races, HTAP
Loose Smut (<i>Ustilago tritici</i>)	Race:
Tan Spot (Pyrenophora tritici-repentis)	Race:
Flag Smut (Urocystis agropyri)	Race:
Halo Spot (Selenophoma donacis)	Race:
Common Bunt (<i>Tilletia tritici</i> or T. <i>laevis</i>)	Race: mixed races
Septoria nodorum (Glume Blotch)	Race:
Dwarf Bunt (<i>Tilletia controversa</i>)	Race: mixed races
Septoria avenae (Speckled Leaf Disease)	Race:
Karnal Bunt (<i>Tilletia indica</i>)	Race:
Septoria tritici (Speckled Leaf Blotch)	Race:
Powdery Mildew (<i>Erysiphe graminis</i> f. sp. <i>tritici</i>)	Race:
Scab (<i>Fusarium</i> spp.)	Race:
"Snow Molds"	Race: similar to Eltan
"Black Point" (Kernel Smudge)	Race:
2 Common Root Rot (Fusarium, Cochliobolus and Bipolaris spp.)	Race: similar to Madsen
Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus (BYDV)	Race:
Rhizoctonia Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)	Race:
0 Soilborne Mosaic Virus (SBMV)	Race:
0 Black Chaff (Xanthomonas campestris pv. translucens).	Race:
0 Wheat Yellow (Spindle Streak) Mosaic Virus	Race:
Bacterial Leaf Blight (Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae)	Race:
Wheat Streak Mosaic Virus (WSMV)	Race:
Other (Specify)	Race:

			-	2017
15. HO	MOZYGOUS FOR SPECIFIC DISEASE RESISTANCE GENE			700180
	n rust <u>SrTmp</u>		Ģ	20
Othe	rust		(Q
•	ECT: PLEASE SPECIFY BIOTYPE (Where Needed) (0 = Not Tested 1 = Susceptible 2 = Resistant	3 = Intermediate	4 = Tolerant)	
	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) General			
_0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype A			
	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype B			
0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype C			
0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype D			
_0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype E			
0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype F			
_0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype G			
0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype H			
0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype I			
	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype J			
	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype L			
0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype M			
	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype N			
0	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) Biotype O			
	Hessian Fly (Mayetiola destructor) (Specify)			
0	Stem Sawfly (Cephus spp.) (Specify)			
0	Cereal Leaf Beetle (Oulema melanopa) (Specify)			
0	Russian Aphid 1 (<i>Diuraphis noxia</i>)			
0	Russian Aphid 2 (<i>Diuraphis noxia</i>)			
0	Greenbug (Schizaphis graminum) (General)			
0	Greenbug (Schizaphis graminum) Biotype A			
	Greenbug (Schizaphis graminum) Biotype B			
0	Greenbug (Schizaphis graminum) Biotype C			
0	Greenbug (Schizaphis graminum) Biotype E			
0	Greenbug (Schizaphis graminum) Other (Specify)			
0	Aphids (Specify)			
0	Other (Specify)			
47 100				
17. HIG	H MOLECULAR WEIGHT GLUTENIN SUBUNIT PROFILE (Check those that apply):			
	$\frac{Glu-A1}{O(1)}$			
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
	null 7+9 1 3+12			
	1* 13+16 5+10 13+19 nuli			
	17+18			

18. TRANSLOCATIONS (1=Present 2=Absent 3=Heterogeneous 4= Not Tested):

4	1BL/1RS
4	1A/1R
4	2NS/2AS
4	4DL/4AgS
	OTHER (explain)
	OTHER (explain)

19. IMIDAZOLINONE HERBICIDE TOLERANCE (1=Present 2=Absent 3=Not Tested):

3 Als-1

- 3 _{Als-2}
- 3 Als-3

20. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON ANY ITEM ABOVE OR GENERAL COMMENTS:

WHEAT DESCRIPTOR ILLUSTRATIONS

Section Numbers Correspond to the Numbers of the Sections on the Form



CULTIVAR

Registration of 'UI Sparrow' Wheat

J. Chen,* J. Wheeler, W. Zhao, N. Klassen, K. O'Brien, J. M. Marshall, C. Jackson, K. Schroeder, R. Higginbotham, and X. Chen

Abstract

'UI Sparrow' (Reg. No. CV-1134, PI 680612) soft white winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) was developed and released by the Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station in August 2016. Soft white winter wheat is an important wheat class grown in Idaho and the rest of Pacific Northwest. Resistance to stripe rust is critical for winter and spring wheat cultivars grown in the Pacific Northwest. Resistances to dwarf bunt and snow mold are also important traits for winter wheat cultivars produced in the dryland areas of the Pacific Northwest. UI Sparrow, tested under the experimental designations IDO1108DH, is a semidwarf cultivar with strong straw, brown chaff, and long awns. It has excellent resistance to dwarf bunt, all-stage resistance to all tested predominant races of Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici except for PSTv-40, moderate to high levels of high-temperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust, and high level resistance to snow mold. UI Sparrow was released as an alternative to 'Eltan', 'Otto', and 'Xerpha' in the low-rainfall regions (<300 mm) and to 'Bobtail', 'SY Ovation', and 'UI-WSU Huffman' in the intermediate- (300-500 mm) and high-rainfall regions (>500 mm) of Idaho and Washington.

Copyright © Crop Science Society of America. All rights reserved. Journal of Plant Registrations doi:10.3198/jpr2017.04.0021crc Received 10 Apr. 2017. Accepted 6 July 2017. Registration by CSSA. 5585 Guilford Rd., Madison, WI 53711 USA *Corresponding author (jchen@uidaho.edu)

OFT WHITE WINTER WHEAT (Triticum aestivum L.) is an important wheat class grown in Idaho and the Pacific Northwest for domestic use and for export to Asian countries for making pastry and noodles. Few soft white winter wheat cultivars are adapted to diverse rainfall areas and also have high level resistance to stripe rust (caused by Puccinia striiformis Westend. f. sp. tritici Erikss.), dwarf bunt (caused by Tilletia controversa J. G. Kühn), and snow mold [caused by Microdochium nivale (Fr.:Fr.) Samuels & I. C. Hallett and Typhula ishikariensis var. idahoensis Imai]. The objectives of the present study were to develop a soft white winter wheat cultivar that has high level and durable resistance to major diseases and has improved yield compared with current cultivars grown in the states of Idaho and Washington. 'UI Sparrow' (Reg. No. CV-1134, PI 680612) soft white winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) was developed and released by the Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station in August 2016.

UI Sparrow was selected for high yield, resistance to fungal diseases, and cold tolerance using the wheat-by-maize dihaploid breeding method. Grain yields of UI Sparrow were comparable to check cultivars ('SY Ovation' and 'UI-WSU Huffman') under irrigation and better than dryland cultivars 'Eltan' (Peterson et al., 1991) and 'Otto' (Carter et al., 2012) in Idaho and Washington environments. Height and heading date of UI Sparrow were similar to Eltan, but lodging resistance was much better than Eltan. End-use quality of UI Sparrow was comparable to Bruneau and Eltan. UI Sparrow is adapted to most areas of Idaho and Washington, both irrigated and dryland. UI Sparrow has excellent resistance to dwarf bunt, which is a critical trait required for organic wheat production. UI Sparrow has allstage resistance to all tested predominant races of P. striiformis f. sp. tritici except for PSTv-40 and also has a moderate to high level of high-temperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust. Its resistance reaction was similar to Otto but better than Eltan. UI Sparrow has very good winterhardiness and moderate resistance to snow mold (5.0 on a 0-to-9 scale, where 9 is the best), comparable to Eltan (4.5) and Otto (4.0). Resistance of UI Sparrow

Abbreviations: IT, infection type.

J. Chen, J. Wheeler, W. Zhao, N. Klassen, K. O'Brien, J.M. Marshall, and C. Jackson, Univ. of Idaho Aberdeen Research and Extension Center, 1693 S 2700 W, Aberdeen, ID 83210; K. Schroeder, Dep. of Plant Sciences, Univ. of Idaho, 875 Perimeter Drive, Moscow, ID 83844; R. Higginbotham, Dep. of Crop and Soil Sciences, Washington State Univ., Pullman, WA 99164; X. Chen, USDA-ARS, 361 Johnson Hall, Washington State Univ., Pullman, WA 99164.

to eyespot (caused by *Tapesia yallundae* Wallwork & Spooner) (disease index = 41) was similar to Madsen (35) but better than Eltan (67). Resistance of UI Sparrow to cephalosporium stripe (caused by *Cephalosporium gramineum* Y. Nisik. & Ikata) (disease index = 63) was similar to Madsen (66) but worse than Eltan (33). UI Sparrow has the *SrTmp* gene conferring resistance to stem rust (caused by *Puccinia graminis* Pers.:Pers. f. sp. *tritici* Erikss. & E. Henn.), including the UG99 race group.

Methods

Pedigree and Breeding History

UI Sparrow is an F₁-derived dihaploid line from the cross 'UI Silver' (PI 658467) × 'Simon' (PI 636132) using the wheatby-maize dihaploid method (Laurie and Bennett, 1986). UI Silver is a hard white winter wheat cultivar derived from the backcross IDO498*2/UT944157, which was released by the Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station in 2010. IDO498 is a hard red winter wheat breeding line derived from the pedigree Turcikum 57/3*Manning. Manning (CItr 17846) is a hard red winter wheat derived from the pedigree Delmar/ PI 178383//Columbia/4/Delmar/3/UT 175-53//Norin 10/ Brevor (Dewey, 1981). UT944157 is a hard white winter wheat breeding line that is a sib-selection to 'Golden Spike' (Hole et al., 2002). Golden Spike is a hard white winter wheat derived from the pedigree Arbon/Hansel/4/Hansel/3/CItr 14106/ Columbia//McCall. Simon is a soft white winter wheat cultivar derived from 'Haven'/'Lambert'//'Madsen' (Zemetra et al., n.d.). Haven is a soft red biscuit wheat from Nickerson Seed Inc. (formerly PBI). Lambert is a soft white common winter wheat released jointly by the Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station, the Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station, and Washington Agricultural Experiment Station (Zemetra et al., 1995). Madsen is a soft white common winter wheat developed by the USDA-ARS, Pullman, WA (Allan et al., 1989), and carries the Pchl gene for resistance to eyespot.

The cross was made and F_1 seeds were obtained in the field in 2007 and assigned as A0711W. The dihaploid production was conducted in a greenhouse in spring 2008. A total of 51 dihaploid (A0711W08DH-1 to A0711W08DH-51) plants were obtained and replanted for a seed increase in the spring of 2009. The 51 dihaploid lines were planted in single headrow plots in the fall 2009, and all were harvested in summer 2010. All dihaploid lines were planted in nonreplicated trials in Aberdeen and Moscow, ID, in fall 2010 and evaluated for yield and baking quality in summer 2011. One line, A0711W08DH-30, that showed good agronomic performance and milling and baking quality was selected and named as IDO1108DH in 2011, with the DH indicating a dihaploid.

Line Selection Evaluation

UI Sparrow, under the experimental designation IDO1108DH, was tested in replicated preliminary yield trials in Aberdeen and Rockland, ID, in 2012; in Western Regional Soft Winter Wheat Trials in 2013; and in replicated elite yield trials in Aberdeen, Rockland, Arbon Valley, and Kimberly, ID, from 2013 to 2016. UI Sparrow was extensively tested in the Extension State Variety Trials in rainfed and irrigated conditions in Idaho, Washington, and Oregon in 2013 to 2016. Details for plot size and trial location characteristics can be found on the respective web pages for each of the variety trials (Washington State University Extension Cereal Variety Testing Program, 2017;, University of Idaho Extension, 2017; Oregon State University, 2017). Grain yield, volume weight, days to heading (50% of heads in the plot completely visible), and plant height (distance from ground to top of spike excluding awns) were measured in most locations, whereas lodging (0-to-9 scale, where 0 = no lodging, 9 = 100% plants lodged) was recorded only when significant lodging was present in the field.

Evaluation of End-Use Quality

Milling quality and baking quality of UI Sparrow were assessed by the Idaho Wheat Quality Laboratory at Aberdeen using approved methods of the American Association of Cereal Chemist (AACC, 2000). Composite grain samples of 500 g of each genotype from each location were milled using modifications to AACC method 26-50. Near-infrared analysis was performed with a Perten 8611 (Perten Instruments) according to AACC method 39-10 to determine flour protein concentration, with values calibrated by combustion analysis of total nitrogen content with a LECO Model FP-428 instrument (LECO Corp.) and corrected to 120 g kg⁻¹. Baking quality of the flour samples was measured using the micro sugar-snap cookie method (AACC method 10-52).

Evaluation of Resistance to Stripe Rust and Other Diseases

UI Sparrow was evaluated by X.M. Chen's program in a single-row plot (1.0 m long) for resistance to stripe rust under natural infections in both Pullman and Mt. Vernon, WA, in 2014 and 2016 in either or both of two nurseries: the Southern Idaho Wheat Breeding Nursery and the Western Regional Soft Winter Wheat Nursery. Stripe rust races prevalent at these locations during those years in the Pacific Northwest included PSTv-52, PSTv-37, FSTv-4, PSTv-79, and PSTv-48. Stripe rust resistance was evaluated twice at Feekes growth stages 5-6 and 10.1–10.5 in Mt. Vernon and once at stage 10.1–10.5 in Pullman when the susceptible check 'PS279' had about 30% severity at the early stage and greater than 80% severity at both locations at the late growth stage. Infection type (IT) was recorded using the 0-to-9 scale as described by Line and Qayoum (1992), and severity (SEV) was recorded as percentage of foliage infected. In addition, UI Sparrow was evaluated in the greenhouse together with the other entries in the 2010 Western Regional Soft Winter Wheat Nursery at two temperature profiles. One was the lowtemperature profile (diurnal temperature cycle gradually changing from 4°C at 2:00 AM to 20°C at 2:00 PM) with 16 h light using selected races PSTv-4, PSTv-14, PSTv-37, PSTv-40, and PSTv-51 in the seedling stage; the other was the high-temperature profile (diurnal temperature cycle gradually changing from 10°C at 2:00 AM to 30°C at 2:00 PM) with races PSTv-14, PSTv-37, and PSTv-40 in adult-plant stages (boot to flowering stage) (Chen and Line, 1995; Chen, 2005; Chen et al., 2010).

The reaction of UI Sparrow to dwarf bunt compared with the reaction of the susceptible cultivar 'Cheyenne' (CItr 8885; Clark, 1931) was tested in Logan, UT, in two replicate 1.5-m rows in the field in 2015 and 2016. The nursery was artificially inoculated with a composite of common pathogenic races of *T. controversa.* The percentage of diseased spikes (0% = immune resistance and 100% = susceptible) of Cheyenne and UI Sparrow was determined at plant maturity.

The snow mold resistance of UI Sparrow was assessed in a naturally infected nursery in Tetonia, ID, over 2 yr (2015 and 2016). The snow mold rating is a visual estimate of growth approximately 4 wk after snowmelt that is based on both the percentage of recovery and vigor. The scale ranges from 0 to 9, where 0 = no recovery and 9 = complete recovery.

Statistical Analysis

Data generated from Washington State Cereal Variety Trials were analyzed with the general lattice (ALS) procedure in Agrobase Generation 2, version 38.10.1 (Agronomix Software). Since four major wheat-producing regions with distinct agroclimatic conditions are present in Washington State, data were analyzed across locations within regions instead of over all locations. The final data analysis used only entries common to the trials across all years.

Data generated from the University of Idaho State Variety Trials were analyzed with randomized complete block design using SAS Versions 9.2–9.4 (SAS Institute). Data from rainfed and irrigated trials were analyzed separately. The final data analysis used only entries common to the trials across all years. The LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$) was used to determine the significance of mean differences among genotypes for the traits evaluated.

Seed Purification and Increase

The breeder seed was produced at the Aberdeen Research Station. Four hundred selected heads were individually threshed and planted in 400 headrows in 2013. Based on the uniformity and agronomic performance, 216 headrows were harvested in which 74 headrows were selected for lower grain protein content and higher test weight in 2014. The 74 lines were simultaneously planted in 1.5-m by 3-m plots and evaluated for stripe rust resistance in the USDA stripe rust nursery in Pullman in 2015. Based on the uniformity and stripe rust resistance, approximately 35 plots were harvested and composited, resulting in about 160 kg of breeder seed in summer 2015. Approximately 54 kg of breeder seed was planted (0.5 ha) in fall 2015 for foundation seed production in 2016. Additional breeder seed was produced from 4.5 kg of the 2015 increase, and the remaining breeder seed was used in variety trials in Idaho, Washington, and Oregon in 2016.

Characteristics

General Description

UI Sparrow is a semidwarf, strong straw, medium-late soft white winter wheat cultivar. It has prostrate juvenile plant growth. The plants exhibit a blue-green color with slightly twisted flag leaf. At maturity, heads are semi-erect, long-awned, strap, and brown-chaffed. Anthers are yellow. Stems do not have hair or wax and are purple colored on the bottom stem at boot stage. There are typically three nodes present with hollow internodes. The peduncle is erect, averaging 15 cm in length. At maturity, the heads are brown colored with glumes that are long, narrow, and lacking pubescence. Glume shoulders are oblique. Seed is white in color, soft, with elliptical and angular cheeks, and has a medium brush end. This description was mainly based on the trials conducted in the breeding program in Aberdeen.

Agronomic Performance

Results summarized herein were mainly derived from the Idaho and Washington State Variety Trials. Mean yield of UI Sparrow was 9361.2 kg ha⁻¹ in nine irrigated environments of 3 yr (2014–2016) in southeastern Idaho (Table 1), which was not significantly different from Bobtail, Bruneau, and UI-WSU Huffman. However, it was significantly greater than Brundage (Zemetra et al., 1998), Stephens (Kronstad et al., 1978), and Madsen (Allan et al., 1989), while lower than SY Ovation. Grain volume weight of UI Sparrow was 70.0 kg hL⁻¹, which was not significantly different from Bobtail and Stephens

Table 1. Agronomic performance of soft white winter wheat UI Sparrow compared with adapted cultivars in irrigated and rainfed environments in southeast Idaho over 3 yr, 2014–2016.†

		Irri	igated (16 cultiva	irs)		Ra	ainfed (13 cultiva	rs)
Cultivar	Yield	Volume weight	Days to heading	Height	Lodging	Yield	Volume weight	Height
	kg ha⁻¹	kg hL⁻¹	d	cm	%	kg ha⁻¹	kg hL⁻¹	cm
UI Sparrow	9361.2	70.0	151.0	104.1	21	4304.0	69.1	73.7
Bobtail	9643.7	69.6	148.0	94.0	17	4324.2	66.7	63.5
Bruneau	9220.0	71.5	149.0	96.5	21	4061.9	70.3	71.1
SY Ovation	9845.4	72.3	146.0	96.5	12	4404.9	71.6	66.0
UI-WSU Huffman	9011.5	71.0	150.0	99.1	20	3490.3	68.0	66.0
Brundage	8271.8	71.7	143.0	94.0	9	2111.7	71.1	61.0
Stephens	8688.7	70.6	145.0	94.0	16	3685.3	69.0	68.6
Madsen	8540.8	71.3	150.0	99.1	14	3840.0	70.6	68.6
Otto	NA‡	NA	NA	NA	NA	3840.0	70.2	68.6
Eltan	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3449.9	69.5	68.6
Site-year	9	9	9	9	9	4	4	4
Average	9172.9	71.3	146.0	94.0	15	3651.7	69.5	66.0
LSD (0.05)	410.2	0.7	0.7	2.5	6	343.0	0.7	2.8

† Data provided by J. Marshall; complete data can be found in Marshall et al. (2017).

‡ NA, not available.

although it was lighter than the rest of lines tested. Mean height of UI Sparrow was 104 cm under irrigation, which was the tallest cultivar in the trial. Because UI Sparrow has strong straw, its lodging resistance was similar to Bobtail, Bruneau, UI-WSU Huffman, and Stephens. Mean heading date of UI Sparrow was 151 d, which was later than most of lines tested, although the maturity date of UI Sparrow was similar to UI-WSU Huffman and Madsen (data not shown).

Under dryland conditions, mean yield of UI Sparrow was 4304 kg ha^{-1} over four dryland environments of 3 yr in southeastern Idaho (Table 1), which was not significantly different from SY Ovation, Bobtail, and Bruneau but was greater than Madsen, Otto, Stephens, UI-WSU Huffman, Eltan, and Brundage. UI Sparrow had better grain volume weight than Bobtail and UI-WSU Huffman. UI Sparrow headed 1 d earlier than Otto, similar to Eltan, Bruneau, and Madsen, and later than the rest of cultivars. Mean height of UI Sparrow was 73.7 cm, which was not significantly different from Bruneau but taller than the rest of the lines tested (Table 1).

Under dryland production in northern Idaho, the mean yield of UI Sparrow was significantly greater than Stephens, Madsen, and Brundage 96 (Zemetra et al., 2003) while not significantly different from Bobtail, Bruneau, WB Junction, and UI/WSU Huffman (Table 2). Grain volume weight of UI Sparrow was greater than Bobtail but not significantly different from other cultivars. Despite its height, UI Sparrow displayed good resistance to lodging in most trials.

The overall performance of UI Sparrow was exceptional in all precipitation zones in Washington over 3 yr (Table 3). Mean yield of UI Sparrow (8318.8 kg ha⁻¹) was highest in the >500-mm precipitation zones. In the 400- to 500-mm zones, UI Sparrow had similar yield to Xerpha (Jones et al., 2010) and SY Ovation, >400 kg ha⁻¹ higher than Madsen and WB Junction, although 229 kg ha⁻¹ lower than Bobtail. In the 300- to 400-cm zones, UI Sparrow had higher yield than Eltan, Otto, WB Junction, and Madsen, although lower than Xerpha. In the <300-mm zones, the mean yield of UI Sparrow was similar to Otto, slightly lower than Xerpha, and higher than Eltan, WB Junction, and Madsen.

Flour and Baking Quality

The overall end-use quality of UI Sparrow is similar to Bruneau and Eltan but better than Simon and Stephens (Table 4). Flour protein content of UI Sparrow was less than Simon and

Table 2. Agronomic performance of soft white winter wheat UI Sparrow compared with adapted cultivars in northern Idaho environments over 3 yr, 2014–2016.†

	2015 (44 entries)			2	2016 (40 entrie	s)	2014–2016 (16 entries)		
Cultivar	Yield	Volume weight	Height	Yield	Volume weight	Height	Yield	Volume weight	Height
	kg ha⁻¹	kg hL⁻¹	cm	kg ha ⁻¹	kg hL ⁻¹	cm	kg ha ⁻¹	kg hL⁻¹	cm
UI Sparrow	6772.1	69.2	98.6	8971.2	73.8	107.4	6940.2	70.8	94.0
Bobtail	6476.2	67.6	86.4	9475.5	73.4	97.3	6980.6	69.0	82.9
Bruneau	7128.5	71.3	98.0	8776.1	76.6	105.9	7041.1	72.6	91.2
WB-Junction	7047.8	72.9	91.2	8527.3	76.6	97.8	6960.4	73.2	85.6
UI/WSU Huffman	6778.8	69.8	94.0	8507.1	76.2	103.1	6819.2	71.8	88.9
Stephens	6496.4	69.8	90.4	8150.7	75.2	97.3	6523.3	71.2	84.8
Madsen	6368.6	70.2	92.2	8076.7	76.2	96.8	6415.7	72.0	86.6
Brundage 96	6698.1	70.0	90.7	8144.0	75.3	93.2	6583.8	71.5	83.6
Site	6	6	6	5	5	5	17	17	17
Mean	6536.7	70.7	89.7	8339.0	76.1	97.3	6745.2	72.1	85.9
LSD (0.05)	282.5	1.1	1.8	309.4	0.6	2.3	181.6	0.5	1.5

† Data provided by Dr. Curtis Schroeder.

Table 3. Mean grain yield of soft white winter wheat UI Sparrow compared with seven adapted cultivars in different precipitation zones in Washington over 3 yr, 2013, 2014, and 2016.†

Cultiver	Precipitation zone							
Cultivar	<300 mm	300-400 mm	400–500 mm	>500 mm				
		kg	ha ⁻¹	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
UI Sparrow	3443.2	6388.8	7128.5	8318.8				
Xerpha	3550.8	6758.6	7047.8	7989.3				
Eltan	3315.4	6227.4	NA	NA				
Otto	3463.4	5978.5	NA	NA				
Bobtail	NA‡	NA	7357.2	7935.5				
SY Ovation	NA	NA	7249.6	7532.0				
WB-Junction	3039.7	6045.8	6691.4	7431.1				
Madsen	2992.6	5891.1	6725.0	7431.1				
Site-years	15	17	12	12				
Mean	3302.0	6213.9	7034.4	7774.1				
LSD	80.7	121.1	161.4	148.0				

† Data provided by Washington State University Cereal Variety Testing Program (2017).

‡ NA, not available.

Table 4. Flour quality and cookie diameter of soft white winter wheat UI Sparrow compared with four adapted cultivars in Rockland (dryland
[Dry]) and Aberdeen (irrigated [IR]) over 3 yr, 2013-2015.

Cultivar	Flour protein		Flour Yield		Break flour		Cookie diameter	
	Dry	IR	Dry	IR	Dry	IR	Dry	IR
	g kg ⁻¹		%		%		cm	
UI Sparrow	120	97	59.6	66.3	40.5	43.0	8.7	8.9
Eltan	122	97	58.7	66.1	45.1	46.3	8.7	9.0
Bruneau	129	96	58.5	67.2	43.7	46.8	8.8	9.0
Simon	130	110	61.6	67.4	36.5	41.9	8.6	8.9
Stephens	112	110	61.3	62.9	38.0	42.3	8.4	8.7
Mean	122	102	59.9	66.0	40.7	44.2	8.6	8.9
LSD (0.05)	9	22	6.2	3.3	6.8	3.6	0.4	0.2

Bruneau but not different from Eltan and Stephens under rainfed conditions. Flour protein of UI Sparrow under irrigation and flour yield under both irrigated and rainfed conditions were not significantly different from the four checks. Break flour yield of UI Sparrow was less than Bruneau but not different from the other three checks under irrigation. Cookie diameter was greater than Stephens but not different from the other three checks under both irrigated and rainfed conditions.

Stripe Rust Resistance

UI Sparrow has all-stage resistance to all tested predominant races of stripe rust except for PSTv-40 and also has moderate to high levels of high-temperature adult-plant resistance to stripe rust (Table 5). The resistance level was similar to Otto but better than Eltan. In the greenhouse seedling tests, UI Sparrow was resistant to races PSTv-4, PSTv-14, and PSTv-37 but susceptible to PSTv-40 and PSTv-51 in 2014; in 2016, UI Sparrow was resistant to PSTv-4, PSTv-14, PSTv-37, and PSTv-51, susceptible only to PSTv-40. In the adult-plant test, UI Sparrow showed a mix of resistant (IT 2) and moderate resistant (IT 5-6) in 2014, but resistant (IT 2-3) in 2016. The field data agreed with the greenhouse data. The highest infection type (5) and severity (30%) were observed at Pullman in the Western Regional Soft Winter Wheat Nursery in 2014, while 2 and 10% were observed in the Washington State Extension Soft Winter Wheat Nursery in 2016.

Other Disease Resistance

UI Sparrow has excellent resistance to dwarf bunt and comparable level of resistance to snow mold (5.0 on a 0-to-9 scale, where 9 is the most resistant) with Eltan (4.5) and Otto (4) in 2015 (Marshall et. al., 2016). In addition, resistance of UI Sparrow to eyespot (disease index = 41) was similar to Madsen (35) but better than Eltan (67); resistance to Cephalosporium stripe (disease index = 63) was similar to Madsen (66) but worse than Eltan (33) based on the mean data of 2 yr (Sexton and Murray, 2015). UI Sparrow has the *SrTmp* gene for resistance to stem rust including the UG99 race group of *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (Jin and Rouse, personal communication, 2014).

Availability

The Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station will maintain breeder and foundation seed of UI Sparrow. Multiplication and distribution rights of other classes of certified seed will be handled by the licensed partners to be identified. Recognized seed classes will include the foundation, registered, and certified seed classes. UI Sparrow has been submitted for US Plant Variety Protection (PVP) under Public Law 91-577 with the Certification Only option. Seed of UI Sparrow has been deposited in the USDA National Plant Germplasm System, where it will be available for distribution on expiration of Plant Variety Protection, 20 yr after the date of publication. Small quantities of seed

Table 5. Stripe rust infection type of soft white winter wheat UI Sparrow compared with check cultivars and susceptible check to selected *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* (PST) races under controlled greenhouse conditions at low temperature for the seedling tests and at high temperature for the adult-plant tests.

				Infectio	on type				
Cultivar		See	dling test (4–2	0°C)	Adult plant test (10–30°C)†			Field HTAP‡	
	PSTv-4	PSTv-14	PSTv-37	PSTv-40	PSTv-51	PSTv-14	PSTv-37	PSTv-40	
				0-	-9§				
			2014 Weste	ern Regional Sc	oft Winter Whe	at Nursery			
UI Sparrow	2	2	2	8	8	2,2,2	2,2,2	6,6,5	Moderate
PS279 (sus.)¶	8	8	8	8	8	8,8,8	8,8,8	8,8,8	No
			2016 Washingt	ton State Soft \	White Winter V	Vheat Nursery			
Otto	2	8	2	2	2	2,3,2	2,2,2	2,2,2	High
Eltan	8	8	8	8	8	5,5,5	5,5,5	5,5,5	Moderate
UI Sparrow	2	2	2	8	2	2,2,2	2,2,2	2,2,3	High
PS279 (sus.)	8	8	8	8	8	8,8,8	8,8,8	8,8,8	No

† Three numbers indicated three readings in three replications.

‡ HTAP, high-temperature adult-plant resistance.

§ Infection type scale, where 9 = most susceptible.

¶ sus., susceptible check.

for research purposes may be obtained from the corresponding author for at least 5 yr from the date of this publication.

Acknowledgments

UI Sparrow was developed with financial support from the Idaho Wheat Commission; the Idaho Agricultural Experimental Station Hatch Projects; and the National Research Initiative Competitive Grants 2011-68002-30029 and 2017-67007-25939 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture. The authors are grateful to growers Hans Hayden and Gilbert Hoffmeister for providing technical support and land for trials.

References

- Allan, R.E., C.J. Peterson, G.L. Rubenthaler, R.F. Line, and D.E. Roberts. 1989. Registration of 'Madsen' wheat. Crop Sci. 29(6):1575–1576. doi:10.2135/cropsci1989.0011183X002900060068x
- American Association of Cereal Chemists (AACC). 2000. Approved methods. 10th ed. AACC, St. Paul, MN.
- Carter, A., S.S. Jones, S.R. Lyon, K.A. Balow, G.B. Shelton, R.W. Higginbotham, X.M. Chen, D.A. Engle, B. Baik, S.O. Guy, T.D. Murray, and C.F. Morris. 2012. Registration of 'Otto' wheat. J. Plant Reg. 7(2):195–200. doi:10.3198/jpr2012.07.0013crc
- Chen, X.M. 2005. Epidemiology and control of stripe rust on wheat. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 27:314–337. doi:10.1080/07060660509507230
- Chen, X.M., and R.F. Line. 1995. Gene action in wheat cultivars for durable high-temperature adult-plant resistance and interactions with race-specific, seedling resistance to stripe rust caused by *Puccinia striiformis*. Phytopathology 85:567–572. doi:10.1094/Phyto-85-567
- Chen, X.M., L. Penman, A.M. Wan, and P. Cheng. 2010. Virulence races of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* in 2006 and 2007 and development of wheat stripe rust and distributions, dynamics, and evolutionary relationships of races from 2000 to 2007 in the United States. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 32:315–333. doi:10.1080/07060661.2010.499271
- Clark, J.A. 1931. Registration of improved wheat varieties. J. Am. Soc. Agron. 23:1010–1012. doi:10.2134/agronj1931.0002196200230012 0007x
- Dewey, W.G. 1981. Registration of Manning wheat. Crop Sci. 21:636. doi:10.2135/cropsci1981.0011183X002100040053x
- Hole, D., S. Clawson, S. Young, and D. Roche. 2002. Registration of 'Golden Spike' wheat. Crop Sci. 42:1376–1377. doi:10.2135/cropsci2002.1376
- Jones, S.S., S.R. Lyon, K.A. Balow, M.A. Gollnick, K.M. Murphy, J.S. Kuehner, T.D. Murray, X.M. Chen, D.A. Engle, and K.G. Campbell. 2010. Registration of 'Xerpha' wheat. J. Plant Reg. 4(2):137–140. doi:10.3198/ jpr2009.06.0306crc

- Kronstad, W.E., C.R. Rohde, M.F. Kolding, and R.J. Metzger. 1978. Registration of 'Stephens' wheat. Crop Sci. 18(6):1097. doi:10.2135/cropsci1978.0 011183X001800060060x
- Laurie, D.A., and M.D. Bennett. 1986. Wheat x maize hybridization. Can. J. Genet. Cytol. 28:313–316. doi:10.1139/g86-046
- Line, R.F., and A. Qayoum. 1992. Virulence, aggressiveness, evolution, and distribution of races of *Puccinia striiformis* (the cause of stripe rust of wheat) in North America, 1968–87. Tech. Bull. 1788. USDA, Washington, DC.
- Marshall, J.M., C.A. Jackson, T. Shelman, L. Jones, S. Arcibal, and K. O'Brien. 2017. 2016 small grains report. Southcentral and Southeast Idaho Cereals Research and Extension Program, Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station. UI Research Bull. 191. http://www.cals.uidaho.edu/edComm/pdf/RES/ RES191.pdf.
- Marshall, J.M., C.A. Jackson, T. Shelman, L. Jones, and K. O'Brien. 2016. 2015 small grains report. Southcentral and Southeast Idaho Cereals Research and Extension Program, Idaho Agricultural Experiment Station. UI Research Bull. 188. http://www.cals.uidaho.edu/edComm/pdf/RES/ RES188.pdf.
- Oregon State University. 2017. Wheat variety trial data. Oregon State University College of Agricultural Sciences. http://agsci.oregonstate.edu/wheatresearch/wheat-variety-trial-data.
- Peterson, C.J., R.E. Allen, G.L. Rubenthaler, and R.F. Line. 1991. Registration of 'Eltan' wheat. Crop Sci. 31(6):1704.
- Sexton, Z.F., and T.D. Murray. 2015. Reaction of winter wheat cultivars and breeding lines to eyespot. Plant Dis. Manage. Rep. 10:CF048. https:// www.plantmanagementnetwork.org/pub/trial/pdmr/volume10/ abstracts/cf048.asp.
- University of Idaho Extension. 2017. South central and southeast Idaho cereals. https://www.uidaho.edu/extension/cereals/scseidaho.
- Washington State University Extension Cereal Variety Testing Program. 2017. Variety selection and testing. http://smallgrains.wsu.edu/variety/.
- Zemetra, R.S., T. Koehler, J. Hansen, S.O. Guy, K. O'Brien, L. Robertson, B. Brown, and T. Murray. n.d. ID91-343-02A soft white winter wheat: Proposed name 'Simon'. http://washingtoncrop.com/documents/Wheat/ Winter/Soft%20White/Simon.pdf.
- Zemetra, R.S., M.L. Lauver, K. O²Brien, T. Koehler, E.J. Souza, S.O. Guy, L. Robertson, and B. Brown. 2003. Registration of 'Brundage 96' wheat. Crop Sci. 43(5):1884. doi:10.2135/cropsci2003.1884
- Zemetra, R., C. Lui, W. Kronstad, M. Lauver, and N. Haugerud. 1995. Registration of 'Lambert' wheat. Crop Sci. 35:1222.
- Zemetra, R.S., E.J. Souza, M. Lauver, J. Windes, S.O. Guy, B. Brown, L. Robertson, and M. Kruk. 1998. Registration of 'Brundage' wheat. Crop Sci. 38:1404. doi:10.2135/cropsci1998.0011183X003800050056x

201700189

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF A AGRICULTURAL MARKE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - PLANT V. APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY	TING SERVICE ARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER
EXHIBIT E - STATEMENT OF TH	E BASIS OF OWNERSHIP	
1. Name of Owner	2. Temporary Designation or Experimental Name	3. Variety Name
University of Idaho	IDO1108DH	UI Sparrow
4. Does the applicant own all rights to the variety? Mark an	"X" in the appropriate block. If no, please explain.	X YES NO
5. Is the applicant a U.S. national or a U.S. based entity? If	no, give name of country.	NO
6. Is the applicant the original owner?	NO If no, please answer <u>one</u> of th	e following:
a. If the original rights to variety were owned by individu		?
YES	NO If no, give name of country	
b. If the original rights to variety were owned by a comp	bany(ies), is (are) the original owner(s) a U.S. based o NO If no, give name of country	xompany?

7. Additional explanation on ownership (Trace ownership from original breeder to current owner).

PLEASE NOTE:

Plant variety protection can only be afforded to the owners (not licensees) who meet the following criteria:

- 1. If the rights to the variety are owned by the original breeder, that person must be a U.S. national, national of a UPOV member country, or national of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.
- 2. If the rights to the variety are owned by the company which employed the original breeder(s), the company must be U.S. based, owned by nationals of a UPOV member country, or owned by nationals of a country which affords similar protection to nationals of the U.S. for the same genus and species.

3. If the applicant is an owner who is not the original owner, both the original owner and the applicant must meet one of the above criteria.

The original breeder/owner may be the individual or company who directed the final breeding. See Section 41(a)(2) of the Plant Variety Protection Act for definitions.

REPRODUCE LOCALLY. Include form number and date on all reproductions. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this information collection is 0581-0055. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instruction searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print; audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MD 20705

	EXHIBIT F DECLARATION REGARDING DEPOSIT		
NAME OF OWNER (S)	ADDRESS (Street and No. or RD No., City, State, and Zip Code and Country) University of Idaho Office of Technology Transfer	TEMPORARY OR EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNATION	
University of Idaho	875 Perimeter Dr., MS 3003, Moscow, ID 83844-3003, United States	VARIETY NAME UI Sparrow	
NAME OF OWNER REPRESENTATIVE (S)	ADDRESS (Street and No. or RD No., City, State, and Zip Code and Country)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
Jianli Chen, Breeder Karen Stevenson, Licensing Associate	Jianli Chen, University of Idaho R & E Center, 1691 S 2700 W, Aberdeen, ID 83210 Karen Stevenson, University of Idaho, Office of Technology Transfer, 875 Perimeter Dr., MS 3003, Moscow, ID 83844-3003	PVPO NUMBER	

I do hereby declare that during the life of the certificate a viable sample of propagating material of the subject variety will be deposited, and replenished as needed periodically, in a public repository in the United States in accordance with the regulations established by the Plant Variety Protection Office.

Signature

March 24, 2017

Date

ST-470-F (07-01-2009) designed by the Plant Variety Protection Office