University of Idaho

College of Natural Resources

DOUGLAS-FIR 4-YR PPDM GROWTH BY THINNING REGIME, DENSITY AND SITE PRODUCTIVITY

MARK KIMSEY **INTERMOUNTAIN FORESTRY COOPERATIVE**





IFC PPDM NETWORK 2013-2018



Data LDEO-Columbia, NSF, NOAA © 2018 Google mage Landsat / Copernicus Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO °,9 0

0











IFC PPDM NETWORK EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN REFRESHER

	Class I	Class II	Class III	Cl
	10YR <u><</u> 18'	$19' \ge 10YR \le 22'$	23'≥10YR <u><</u> 26'	10)
		4	3	
	1	NID (2);		
Index I		NEO (1);	NID (1);	Ν
$RD \leq 35$	SEWA ³ (1)	SCOR (1)	SCOR (2)	SC
		6		
	3	NEO (2); NID (1);	3	
Index II		NEWA (2);	SCWA (1)	
$36 \ge RD < 60$	NEWA (3)	SCOR (1)	NID (2)	SC
		3		
	1	NID (1);	4	
Index III		NEWA (1);		Ν
RD ≥ 60	NEWA (1)	SCOR (1)	NID (4)	SC

Curtis, 1982: RD = BA/QMD^{0.5} Ziede 1978, 1993, 1999: 2-point method Arney and Miller 2000, Arney 2015: 10m SI



IFC PPDM NETWORK THINNING PROTOCOL (UNTREATED + 2 THIN TREATMENTS ~ 130 – 430 TPA)



Control









10 x 10 ~ 430 TPA



14 x 14 ~ 220 TPA











IFC PPDM NETWORK MEASUREMENT PROTOCOL

Every 2 yrs from 0-10, every 5 yrs thereafter

- DBH
- Height growth increment
- Base of live crown
- Defect
- Ingrowth
- Mortality









IFC PPDM NETWORK CURRENT MEASUREMENT STATUS

38 of 101 sites obtained 4 yr measurements as of Fall 2018

- 23 DF sites
- 15 PP sites





4 YR RESULTS INDIVIDUAL TREE RESPONSE – DIAMETER

- Thinning response is generally greatest on poor sites, followed by high initial density
- High density is a great equalizer



Note: 10YR height category breaks approximately correspond to 75, 85, 95 Monserud DF SI Ripley Conversion: 2.5(10YR) + 30



Initial results show a sharp decline in thinning response on the highest productive sites once RD exceeds 55%

4 YR RESULTS INDIVIDUAL TREE RESPONSE - HEIGHT

- Height generally shows less thinning effect than diameter
- Similar to diameter, height response to thinning is generally greater as site quality decreases
- Absolute height growth increment generally increases with increasing site quality
- At higher densities, we are seeing constrained height growth





4 YR RESULTS INDIVIDUAL TREE RESPONSE - VOLUME

- Two-point height growth productivity metric in young, PCT aged stands is proving to be a good discriminator
- The relative lack of height differentiation between the 14 and 18 thinning led to relatively modest volume gains on the 18 except on the highest quality sites
- Stands that move past >55% RD are rapidly loosing individual tree growth potential





4 YR RESULTS CROP TREE RESPONSE – VOLUME





We pulled out the largest 13 trees per plot (crop trees) to assess their response to thinning across site quality After 4 yrs, generally the highest quality sites show a significant thinning effect on crop tree volume growth As these stands develop over time, we expect to see increasing differentiation, leading to a potential crossover

Note: Response +/- 10% is not s.d. than control or between treatments

4 YR RESULTS OVERALL STAND RESPONSE - VOLUME

- Folks look at the 10YR classes and observe how it is differentiating growth across the treatment plots
- Stand level productivity is not slowing down as yet, despite individual tree growth decline
- This is not unexpected given the relatively young stage of stand development for study sites
- Results suggest that the PPDM network will allow us to capture the optimal time to thin by site quality and density









4 YR RESULTS MORTALITY Low to non-existent mortality on: <35% RD stands 67% - Suppression 6% - Snow breakage



- Stands thinned to 130-220 TPA (data not shown)
- Mortality causes with increasing density:

 - 3% Root rot (Armillaria primarily)
 - 2% Bark beetles
 - Remaining mortality scattered across wind, animal, unknown





CONCLUDING **STATEMENTS** THE FUTURE OF PPDM

- Begin validating G&Y software thinning projections
- Develop growth and mortality multipliers by site quality, stand density, and species composition
- Develop silvicultural guidelines for targeting optimal timing window for thinning to maximize growth response on crop trees while minimizing mortality





THANK YOU TO ALL CONTRIBUTING MEMBERS & STAFF

This project would not have been possible without the strong support from the front office to the field forester

And in particular we wish to thank all those field foresters that put up with our discriminating taste for candidate stands