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## IFC PPDM NETWORK
### EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN REFRESHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class I</th>
<th>Class II</th>
<th>Class III</th>
<th>Class IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10YR &lt; 18'</td>
<td>19' &gt; 10YR &lt; 22'</td>
<td>23' &gt; 10YR &lt; 26'</td>
<td>10YR &gt; 27'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Index I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD ≤ 35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NID (2); NEO (1); SCOR (1)</td>
<td>NID (1); SCOR (2)</td>
<td>NID (1); SCWA (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEWA³ (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Index II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 ≥ RD &lt; 60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NEO (2); NID (1); NEWA (2); SCOR (1)</td>
<td>SCWA (1); NID (2)</td>
<td>SCWA (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWA (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Index III</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD ≥ 60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NID (1); NEWA (1); SCOR (1)</td>
<td>NID (4)</td>
<td>NID (1); SCOR (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEWA (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Curtis, 1982: RD = BA/QMD⁰.⁵
Arney and Miller 2000, Arney 2015: 10m SI
IFC PPDM NETWORK

THINNING PROTOCOL (UNTREATED + 2 THIN TREATMENTS ~ 130 – 430 TPA)

Control

10 x 10 ~ 430 TPA

14 x 14 ~ 220 TPA
IFC PPDM NETWORK

MEASUREMENT PROTOCOL

Every 2 yrs from 0-10, every 5 yrs thereafter

- DBH
- Height growth increment
- Base of live crown
- Defect
- Ingrowth
- Mortality
IFC PPDM NETWORK
CURRENT MEASUREMENT STATUS

38 of 101 sites obtained 4 yr measurements as of Fall 2018

- 23 DF sites
- 15 PP sites
4 YR DF GROWTH & MORTALITY
TREE + STAND RESPONSE
4 YR RESULTS

INDIVIDUAL TREE RESPONSE – DIAMETER

- Thinning response is generally greatest on poor sites, followed by high initial density
- Absolute diameter response increases with increasing site productivity ................. however
- High density is a great equalizer
- Initial results show a sharp decline in thinning response on the highest productive sites once RD exceeds 55%

![Graphs showing diameter response for different RD categories](image)

Note: 10YR height category breaks approximately correspond to 75, 85, 95 Monserud DF SI
Ripley Conversion: $2.5(10YR) + 30$
4 YR RESULTS

INDIVIDUAL TREE RESPONSE - HEIGHT

- Height generally shows less thinning effect than diameter
- Similar to diameter, height response to thinning is generally greater as site quality decreases
- Absolute height growth increment generally increases with increasing site quality
- At higher densities, we are seeing constrained height growth
4 YR RESULTS

INDIVIDUAL TREE RESPONSE - VOLUME

- Two-point height growth productivity metric in young, PCT aged stands is proving to be a good discriminator
- The relative lack of height differentiation between the 14 and 18 thinning led to relatively modest volume gains on the 18 – except on the highest quality sites
- Stands that move past >55% RD are rapidly loosing individual tree growth potential
4 YR RESULTS

CROP TREE RESPONSE – VOLUME

• We pulled out the largest 13 trees per plot (crop trees) to assess their response to thinning across site quality.
• After 4 yrs, generally the highest quality sites show a significant thinning effect on crop tree volume growth.
• As these stands develop over time, we expect to see increasing differentiation, leading to a potential crossover.

Note: Response +/- 10% is not s.d. than control or between treatments.
4 YR RESULTS
OVERALL STAND RESPONSE - VOLUME

- Folks – look at the 10YR classes and observe how it is differentiating growth across the treatment plots
- Stand level productivity is not slowing down as yet, despite individual tree growth decline
- This is not unexpected given the relatively young stage of stand development for study sites
- Results suggest that the PPDM network will allow us to capture the optimal time to thin by site quality and density
4 YR RESULTS

MORTALITY

- Low to non-existent mortality on:
  - <35% RD stands
  - Stands thinned to 130-220 TPA (data not shown)

- Mortality causes with increasing density:
  - 67% - Suppression
  - 6% - Snow breakage
  - 3% - Root rot (Armillaria primarily)
  - 2% - Bark beetles

Remaining mortality scattered across wind, animal, unknown
CONCLUDING STATEMENTS

THE FUTURE OF PPDM

• Begin validating G&Y software thinning projections

• Develop growth and mortality multipliers by site quality, stand density, and species composition

• Develop silvicultural guidelines for targeting optimal timing window for thinning to maximize growth response on crop trees while minimizing mortality
THANK YOU
TO ALL CONTRIBUTING MEMBERS & STAFF

This project would not have been possible without the strong support from the front office to the field forester.

And in particular we wish to thank all those field foresters that put up with our discriminating taste for candidate stands.