Fertilizer and Commercial Thin (FERT-THIN) Responses of Three Douglas-fir Stands on Three Soil Strata Types in Northern Idaho

PotlatchDeltic Corporation Abbie A. Acuff & Intermountain Forestry Cooperative Terry M. Shaw

FERT-THIN Objectives and Concepts

Fertilization

Improves stand health and vigor
Increases tree size
Accelerates stand development
Shortens rotation

Thinning

Reduces competition for site resources
Reduces competition induced mortality
Redistributes growth to individual "Crop Trees"
Increases individual tree size
Accelerates stand development
Shortens rotation

FERT-THIN Objectives and Concepts

Objectives

Timber Supply Strategy – Improve Size and Timing
Addresses age-class imbalances
Increases long-term harvest levels
Optimize combination of fert and thin regimes by site types

• Concepts

Stand & tree growth cannot be simultaneously maximized Compromise b/t max production per acre and individual tree size Fertilization can partially compensate volume loss due to thinning

FERT-THIN SITE LOCATIONS



FERT-THIN SITE LOCATIONS Moe's Ranch





FERT-THIN SITE LOCATIONS Tired Wolf





FERT-THIN SITE LOCATIONS Elk Creek





FERT-THIN - Site Characteristics

All Sites:

~25 YO @ study est.

Cedar vegetation series; temp_moist (warm_wet)

- 90%+ DF (%BA species comp)
- **Commercial Thin**

Each Site:

Soil Strata

- Moe Ash/Tertiary Sediment/Basalt (variable)
- Tired Wolf Ash/Basalt (good)
- Elk Creek Ash/Granitic (moderate)

Elevation:

- Moe 3,018'
- Tired Wolf 3,000'
- Elk Creek 4,220'

FERT-THIN Stand Metric Characteristics No-Thin at Est.

METRIC	MOE	TIRED WOLF	ELK CREEK
Quadratic Mean Diameter (QMD)	6.3"	6.4"	8.5"
Site Height	48'	47'	50 [°]
Trees Per Acre (TPA)	767	663	500
Basal Area (BA - ft² ac⁻¹)	163	148	197
Volume (ft ³ ac ⁻¹)	2,926	2,594	3,626
Relative Density (RD - Curtis DF ^{0.5})	65	58	68
Stand Density Index (SDI-IFC DF ^{1.449})	366	324	385
Max Stand Density Index (maxSDI-IFC)	352	357	377

FERT-THIN Treatments

Site	Fert Mix*	FERTILIZER*	THINNING	TRT CODE	
Moe	N+K+S+B	MOE			
Tired Wolf	N+P+K+S+	No-Fert	No-Thin	NFNT	
	B+Cu+Mg	Fert	(No-Thin)	FNT	
Elk Creek	N+K+S+B	No-Fert	Thin 17'	NFT17	
		Fert	(Thin 17')	FT17	
LBS. ACH 200 Nitre	$\frac{E^{\star}}{N}$	TIRED WOLF			
 200 Nitrogen (N) 100 Phosphorus (P) 170 Potassium (K) 90 Sulfur (S) 		No-Fert	No-Thin	NFNT	
		Fert	No-Thin	FNT	
		No-Fert	Thin 16' and 20'	NFT16 and 20	
• 3 Boron ((B)	Fert	Thin 16' and 20'	FT16 and 20	
• 10 Coppe	er (Cu)	ELK CREEK			
• 10 Magnesium (Mg)	No-Fert	No-Thin	NFNT		
		Fert	No-Thin	FNT	
		No-Fert	Thin 14'	NFT14	
		Fert	Thin 14'	FT14	

FERT-THIN Treatments

Site	Fert Mix*	FERTILIZER*	THINNING	TRT CODE	
Moe	N+K+S+B	MOE			
Tired Wolf	N+P+K+S+	No-Fert	No Thin	NFNT	
	B+Cu+Mg	Fert	No-Thin	FNT	
Elk Creek	N+K+S+B	No-Fert	Thin 17'	NFT17	
 <u>LBS. ACRE*</u> 200 Nitrogen (N) 100 Phosphorus (P) 170 Potassium (K) 90 Sulfur (S) 3 Boron (B) 10 Copper (Cu) 		Fert	'1 hin 17'	FT17	
		TIRED WOLF			
		No-Fert	No-Thin	NFNT	
		Fert	No-Thin	FNT	
		No-Fert	Thin 16' and 20'	NFT16 and 20	
		Fert	Thin 16' and 20'	FT16 and 20	
		ELK CREEK			
• 10 Magnesium	esium (Mg)	No-Fert	No-Thin	NFNT	
		Fert	No-Thin	FNT	
		No-Fert	Thin 14'	NFT14	
		Fert	Thin 14'	FT14	

Individual Tree: 8YR Diameter Combined Diameter Response



• FERT-THIN

- Additive effect @ Tired Wolf FT16 and Elk Creek FT14; Moe combined effect FT17 declined relative to thin alone
- Accelerate Stand Development??

Whole-Stand: 8YR Volume Trends at Moe



- High density treatments have steeper growth trend lines
- High density treatments carry more per acre volume over time than low density (cost of thinning)
- No "positive" fertilizer effect (high or low density)

Whole-Stand: 8YR Volume Trends at Tired Wolf



- High density treatments have steeper growth trend lines
- High density treatments carrying more volume over time
- Fertilizer effect on all densities; Strong effect on FT16
- Ash/Basalt soil strata at Tired Wolf a "good" fert responder

Whole-Stand: 8YR Volume Trends at Tired Wolf



- High density treatments have steeper growth trend lines
- High density treatments carrying more volume over time
- Fertilizer effect on all densities; Strong effect on FT16
- Ash/Basalt soil strata at Tired Wolf a "good" fert responder

Whole-Stand: 8YR Volume Trends at Elk Creek

 $\rightarrow \text{NFNT} \Rightarrow \text{FNT} \Rightarrow \text{NFT14} \Rightarrow \text{FT14}$

ELK CREEK

- Again, high density treatments have steeper growth trend lines
- Again, high density treatments carrying more volume over time
- Small fertilizer effect for the FT14

Crop-Tree (Largest 150 TPA): Volume Trends at Elk Creek



• Crop Tree Trends

- Crop-Tree high density & low density treatments have similar growth trend lines, 8YR volumes and 8YR QMDs
- Similar crop tree trend line relationships were shown for Moe
- These results beg the question: Should stands similar in stand development, as Moe and Elk Creek when treated, be <u>commercially</u> thinned or don't treat and remove all at harvest.

Commercial Thin at Elk Creek and Moe cont. Key Management Talking Points Probably missed the PCT window Commercial thin must be financially viable Managing for Crop Trees at end of rotation? However, short time period until final harvest if CT If not CT, additional stand volume in non-crop trees at harvest

Crop-Tree (Largest 150 TPA): Volume Trends at Tired Wolf



- Crop Tree Trends
 - Crop-Tree FT16 has highest 8YR volume and largest 8YR QMD
 - Crop-Tree FT16 "crossed over" NFNT and FNT
 - Crop-Tree FT16 steeper trend line than all treatments
 - Crop-Tree NFT16 steeper trend line than NFNT
 - Is fertilization partially compensating volume loss due to thinning? Absolutely!

Whole-Stand: Relative Density Growth Phase at Moe



Stand Development:

- NFNT in "Stagnation/Mortality" RD phase, 8YR 152% maxSDI
- NFT17 always in "Open Grown" all eight years after treatment, 50% maxSDI, not fully utilizing site growth potential

 The commercial thin leave stand at Moe did not capture the full growth potential of the site

Whole-Stand: Relative Density Growth Phase at Moe



Stand Development:

 NFNT in "Stagnation/Mortality" RD phase, 8YR 152% maxSDI

 NFT17 always in "Open Grown" all eight years after treatment, 50% maxSDI, not fully utilizing site growth potential

 The commercial thin leave stand at Moe did not capture the full growth potential of the site

Whole-Stand: Relative Density Growth Phase at Tired Wolf



• Stand Development:

- NFNT in "Stagnation/Mortality" RD phase, 8YR 130% maxSDI
- NFT16 always in "Open Grown" phase all eight years after treatment, 49% maxSDI, not fully utilizing site growth potential
- NFT20 always in "Open Grown" phase, 17% maxSDI, way underperforming
- The thin-leave stands at Tired Wolf do not utilize the full site growth potential

Whole-Stand: Relative Density Growth Phase at Tired Wolf



• Stand Development:

- NFNT in "Stagnation/Mortality" RD phase, 8YR 130% maxSDI
- NFT16 always in "Open Grown" phase all eight years after treatment, 49% maxSDI, not fully utilizing site growth potential
- NFT20 always in "Open Grown" phase, 17% maxSDI, way underperforming
- The thin-leave stands at Tired Wolf do not utilize the full site growth potential

Whole-Stand: Relative Density Growth Phase at Elk Creek



Stand Development: – NFNT in "Stagnation/Mortality" RD phase, 8YR 139% maxSDI

 NFT14 always in "Peak Productivity" phase, fully utilizing site potential

 – 78% maxSDI agrees with RD "Peak Productivity" phase

 Poster Child!!!! The commercial thin leave stand at Elk Creek did capture the full growth potential of the site

Whole-Stand: Relative Density Growth Phase at Elk Creek



Stand Development: – NFNT in "Stagnation/Mortality" RD phase, 8YR 139% maxSDI

 NFT14 always in "Peak Productivity" phase, fully utilizing site potential

 – 78% maxSDI agrees with RD "Peak Productivity" phase

 Poster Child!!!! The commercial thin leave stand at Elk Creek did capture the full growth potential of the site

FERT-THIN Gross and Net Stand BA Growth



Gross Net

ELK CREEK



Stand Mortality: – Overall BA mortality low

 Not surprisingly, mortality higher for the high density treatments

Highest BA Annual: Moe ~ 1.4%, Tired Wolf ~ 2.0% and Elk Creek ~ 1.0%

 No strong relationship b/t fertilization and mortality

FERT-THIN Summary

Stand Growth Trends:

FERT-THIN Highly Dependent on site and density
Stand fert response – Tired Wolf > Elk Creek > Moe
Stand no-thin always higher yields



Crop Tree 8YR trend lines, volumes and QMDs were similar b/t density treatments

Fertilization response for the FT16 treatment at Tired Wolf compensated for stand volume losses due to thinning

Stand Growth Phases:

Timing of stand entry, as it relates to stand development, is crucial in capturing full site growth potential after treatment

Stand Mortality: – Low annual mortality – Higher on high density plots

FERT-THIN Summary

- WHAT IF: site types similar to Tired Wolf were fertilized



- and thinned to similar stand metrics and timing as Elk Creek

METRIC – NFT14 YO	ELK CREEK
Quadratic Mean Diameter (QMD)	9.4"
Trees Per Acre (TPA)	~222
Basal Area (ft² ac¹)	114

MAXIMUM PRODUCTIVITY!!!!