Density management: from the conceptual to the applied

James N. Long Department of Wildland Resources & Ecology Center Utah State University



Long and Shaw 2005

Overall objectives

- Review some basics of stand dynamics, including size-density relationships;
- Review techniques for translating this understanding into reasonable and effective density management regimes;
- "implement" some density management regimes for particular structural objectives;
- Somethings we don't know for sure.

Stand-level objectives

• ... must be characterized in terms of stand composition and structure

often, objectives have more to do with structure than species composition

• a key attribute of structure characterization is quantification of relative density

Stand dynamics

- Stand development
- Site occupancy
- Size-density relationships
- Individual tree vs stand growth
- Relative density and density management

Stand dynamics

• Stand development

- Site occupancy
- Size-density relationships
- Individual tree vs stand growth
- Relative density and density management

- Assumptions of model:
 - Single species
 - Even-aged
 - Homogeneous



• Comparison w/ Oliver and Larson (1996)

Stand initiation

Understory reinitiation



Stem exclusion









Douglas-fir



Engelmann spruce/subalpine fir







Stand dynamics

- Stand development
- Site occupancy
- Size-density relationships
- Individual tree vs stand growth
- Relative density and density management

Stand dynamics

- Lots of interesting detail associated w/ canopy development, e.g., changes in architecture
- An important

 emergent property of a
 developing forest
 population is the upper
 limit to the amount of
 foliage a population
 can carry



Pinus contorta var. latifolia

Individual tree



Time

Individual tree



Stand dynamics

- Upper limit is speciesand site-specific "constant"
- However, it can be carried on a few big trees or many small trees
- Implications of this emergent property are extremely important

Pinus contorta var. latifolia



Stages of stand development

- Some key concepts
 - Nature of competitive interaction at various stages
 - Increasing average size coupled with decreasing numbers
 - Interaction of growth rates and initial density
 - The leaf area "plateau"

Size-density relations

- A way to quantify relative density
- A way to characterize structural elements of DFC
- Basis for designing density management regimes

DFC = Desired future condition



RELATIVE DENSITY

after Powell



RELATIVE DENSITY

Size-density relationship

- The predictable relationship between mean size and density in crowded (e.g., self-thinning) populations
- Another *emergent property*

Size-density relationship



Size-density relationship

Mean size



Density







The boundary line

- Slope
- Intercept (level)
 - Species-specific
 - Independent of site quality



Lodgepole pine

Trajectory

- Influence of initial density
- Influence of site quality



Relative density

- Maximum size-density relation (i.e., boundary line) as a reference
- Relative density as ratio of actual density & theoretical maximum density (for a given mean size)
- *How close is the stand to the boundary line?*

Size-density based indexes

- Crown competition factor (CCF) (Gingrich 1967)
- ρr (Drew and Flewelling 1979)
- Spacing as % of height (Wilson 1979)
- RD (Curtis 1982)
- SDI (Reineke 1933)

From a theoretical viewpoint, all of the size-density based indexes are equally good

Reineke's stand density index (SDI)

- Maximum size-density relation (Dq-tpa)
- SDI = tpa as if Dq were 10"
- SDI = tpa * $(Dq/10)^{1.6}$
- SDIsum = $\sum DBH/10^{-1.6}$
- SDImax is the boundary
- % stocking (%SDI): actual SDI/SDImax

Stand dynamics

- Stand development
- Site occupancy
- Size-density relationships
- Individual tree vs stand growth
- Relative density and density management

Growth-growing stock relations

- Individual tree growth versus stand growth
- You cannot have your cake and eat it too



Growth-growing stock relations

- You can maximize tree growth ...
- You can maximize stand growth ...
- But cannot do both simultaneously
- Almost all density management regimes involve some situationally appropriate compromise

Stand dynamics

- Stand development
- Site occupancy
- Size-density relationships
- Individual tree vs stand growth
- Relative density and density management

Density management

- Relative density is basic tool for translating qualitative objectives into a quantitative density management regime
- We use an index of relative density to:
 - assess current condition;
 - characterize desired future condition; and
 - develop a tactical plan for achieving DFC

Density management

- Must decided on appropriate upper and lower limits
- Choices depend on management objectives

Examples of (situational) appropriate limits

- Maintain vigor, avoid self-thinning < 60%
- Delay self-pruning < 25%
- Promote self-pruning > 25%
- Full site occupancy > 35%













Utility depends on appropriate estimates of species- and sitespecific SDImax

- FIA database
- 'pure' stands were those with 80% or more basal area of the target species
- This breakpoint is a compromise

- SDI_{max} is theoretical maximum relative density of a species or mixture of species
- It is only observed at fairly small scales (i.e., homogeneous 'crowded' patches)
- Therefore estimates of SDI_{max} should avoid the paradox of a stand-level maximum which can be exceeded at small scales of silvicultural manipulation



Estimating SDImax Subplot



Ponderosa pine N = 3785

Estimating SDImax Subplot, 98th percentile



Ponderosa pine N = 3785SDImax = 480

Estimating SDImax Subplot, 98th percentile



Ponderosa pine N = 3785 SDImax = 480



Estimating SDImax Subplot, 98th percentile



Douglas-fir N = 5621SDImax = 537

- Results (for most, not all) species are consistent with 'benchmark' SDImax
- For some species, there are considerable differences compared to various estimates (e.g., Reineke's estimate for ponderosa pine)

- Relative tolerance
- Congeners
- Compatible mixtures



Sierra Nevada mixed-conifer DMD

• Are these results consistent with postulate that SDImax is independent of site quality?

- Are these results consistent with postulate that SDImax is independent of site quality?
- For several important species with broad ecological amplitudes in RM, estimates of SDImax differ as much 25% between xeric and mesic sites

Summary

- Size-density relationships are basis for indexing relative density
- An index of relative density is the basic tool for translating qualitative objectives into a quantitative density management regime

Summary

- We use SDI to:
 - assess current stand condition;
 - characterize desired future condition; and
 - develop a tactical plan for achieving DFC

Summary

- Utility depends on appropriate estimates of species- and site-specific SDImax
- There is a way to objectively estimate SDImax
- Results are not consistent with postulate that SDI_{max} is independent of site quality

John D. Shaw, RMRS FIA





