Interpreting Forage Quality Tests  Glenn Shewmaker, Extension Forage Specialist for the University of Idaho

Forage should be sampled to determine moisture and forage quality. Knowing forage quality allows allocation of forage to the proper class of livestock, and indicates nutrients needed or in excess of requirements. This article describes forage quality, how and why constituents are determined, and guides how to interpret forage quality tests.

There are sensory, physical, chemical, biological, and instrumental methods for determining forage quality. All methods depend on proper forage sampling. Because only a small quantity is analyzed, the sample must be representative of the entire lot of forage. For more information on sampling forages see the National Forage Testing Association website and Proper Sampling (Coring) of Hay Bales and Stacks and the Idaho Forage Handbook (BUL547) from the University of Idaho web site.

Feed analysis reports contain the following information:

**Moisture** — Forage moisture content is used to calculate constituents on a dry matter (DM) and on “as received” or on the DM basis.

**Protein** — Grasses typically have 4 to 16 percent crude protein (CP). Legumes have 10 to 25 percent CP depending on soil fertility, plant species, and plant maturity. If the test value is greater than 25 percent CP you should test for nitrates, and expect that some CP is actually non-protein nitrogen. Acid detergent insoluble nitrogen (ADIN) or acid detergent insoluble crude protein (ADICP) are indicators of unavailable nitrogen which may be the result of heat damaged protein.

Heat damage occurs when forage heats above 140 degrees causing sugars and amino acids to combine forming indigestible compounds appearing like lignin.

**Fiber** — or structural carbohydrate, supplies a highly variable amount of energy to the diet because digestibility varies greatly. Fiber is most often reported as acid detergent fiber (ADF) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF).

**Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF)** — This is fiber that remains after part of the digestible cell wall is removed with a neutral detergent. NDF varies from 30 percent NDF in fresh alfalfa to 60 percent in mature straw and tropical grasses. NDF is related to animal intake of the forage: as NDF decreases, Digestible NDF (NDFD) is a better indicator of energy content of forage. Legumes generally have lower NDF than grasses, but the NDFD in legumes, hays and haylages. Relative feed value index is an index that ranks cool season legumes, grasses and mixtures by potential digestible dry matter intake.

**Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)** — This is fiber that remains after part of the digestible cell wall is removed with an acid detergent. ADF is related to forage digestibility; as ADF increases, digestibility decreases.

**Energy** — Energy is not directly measured but is commonly predicted. Total digestible nutrients (TDN) is the best practical prediction of forage energy as properly determined (National Research Council, 2001) by a summative equation developed at the Ohio State University.

**Total Digestible Nutrients (TDN)** — TDN represents the sum of all digestible nutrients in the forage.

**Minerals** — Minerals important to forage analysis include phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca), potassium (K), and magnesium (Mg).

**Secondary measured values from laboratory analysis**

- Total N — nitrogen content (% of DM)
- DIN — rumen degradable intake nitrogen (% of total N)
- UIN — rumen undegradable intake nitrogen (% of total N)
- ADFN — acid detergent fiber N (% of total N)

**Calculated Values**

- DM — dry matter (%) is calculated as 100 - moisture content
- Crude Protein (CP) — estimate of protein (% of DM) = Total N x 6.25
- Digestible Crude Protein (DCP) — (% of total CP) = CP x 0.72
- Digestible Dry Matter (DDM) — (% of DM) = 88.9 - (0.779 x ADF)
- UIN — rumen undegradable intake nitrogen (% of total N)
- DMI — dry matter intake (% of body weight) = 120 / NDF
- RFV — relative feed value index = (DDM x DMI) / 1.29

The National Forage Testing Association describes the purpose of calculating RFV below:

Digestible Dry Matter (DDM), Dry Matter Intake (DMI), and Relative Feed Value Index (RFV) calculations are applicable to legume, legume-grass and cool season grass fresh forages, hays and haylages. Relative feed value index is an index that ranks cool season legumes, grasses and mixtures by potential digestible dry matter intake.

**New developments in forage testing**

A Michigan State University study found that for each percentage point increase in NDF digestibility (NDFD), dry matter intake increased 0.37 pounds and 4 percent fat corrected milk production increased 0.5 pounds. The National Research Council (NRC) adopted the procedure of measuring digestibility of the NDF fraction. The NRC in Nutrient Requirements for Dairy Cattle (2001) recommends using NDFD in a revised summative equation to predict TDN.

Relative Forage Quality (RFQ) was developed by University of Wisconsin researchers to replace RFV. RFQ is more accurate in predicting forage utilization by animals, is more useful across different forage types such as grass and mixed hays, and provides more separation of forage value than the RFV index.

(continued on reverse)
Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy (NIRS) analysis

NIRS detects the absorption of near infrared light by molecules based on calibrations derived from wet chemistry. NIRS is rapid and less expensive than chemical laboratory analyses, and NIRS is highly precise. The NIRS Forage and Feed Testing Consortium provides prediction equations that most University and commercial labs use.

Forage sampling and testing accuracy

Sampling is the largest source of error in forage analyses. Laboratory error is added to sampling error in test analysis. Normally there might be +/-5% variation (error) in results, e.g. +/- 1.5% ADF or +/- 8 RFV. Usually a test of 31.5 percent ADF is not different than 30 percent ADF, and neither is a test of 172 RFV different than 180 RFV.

Forage quality evaluation and interpretation is a multi-faceted system. Quality values are not absolute, and forage quality is not adequately described by any one variable. The National Forage Testing Association (NFTA) certifies the proficiency of laboratories for accuracy in testing hay and corn silage for dry matter, crude protein, ADF, and NDF. Select an NFTA-certified lab so that variability due to analysis is minimized.

Animal Health Diagnostics

• NEW! Milk Pregnancy Testing
• BVD-PI testing - Via milk, blood or ear notch
• Johne’s Testing - Via milk or blood
• DG29™ Blood Pregnancy Testing

Milk Analysis

• On-site analysis MUN, SCC, components
• Electronic reports available
• Compatible with all dairy software and processing centers
• Fast & accurate turnaround
• DHIA Field Certified
• QCS Field Certified

Forage Analysis

• Wet chemistry
• NEW! Wet chem starches
• NIR analysis
• Haylage, corn silage, alfalfa, and grass hay
• Analysis conducted following NFTA protocol
• NFTA certified

DHIA Field Testing

• DHIA Field Certified
• QCS Field Certified
• Accurate, dependable service
• Certified testing equipment
• Knowledgeable on dairy software & DRPC’s
• Serving all of Southern Idaho, Treasure Valley, Boise Valley and Eastern Oregon

Manure & Compost Analysis

• Nutrient availability, pH, ammonia, nitrate, volatile solids, major and minor elements

Soil Analysis

• Organic matter, pH, nitrate, ammonium, potassium, and phosphorus

Plant Tissue Analysis

• Nutrient composition, major and minor elements, potato petiole nitrate analysis

Water Analysis

• Packages for irrigation and livestock water quality, sulfates, nitrates, total dissolved solids

Web sites with information about forage quality:

National Forage Testing Association: www.foragetesting.org
University of Idaho Forage Extension: www.extension.uidaho.edu/forage/
NIRS Forage and Feed Testing Consortium: www.nirsconsortium.org/
Forage Quality and Testing, U. of Wisconsin: www.uwex.edu/ces/cnps/uwforage/alfalfa.htm
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*This article originally appeared in the Progressive Cattleman.