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Overview

• NILOA – who we are and what we do
• Curriculum mapping overview – the process
• Institutional Examples
• Why do curriculum mapping?
• What else can be mapped?
• How can this improve student learning?
• Questions
NILOA’s mission is to discover and disseminate effective use of assessment data to strengthen undergraduate education and support institutions in their assessment efforts.

- Surveys
- Web Scans
- Case Studies
- Focus Groups
- Occasional Papers
- Website
- Resources
- Newsletter
- Listserv
- Presentations
- Transparency Framework
- Featured Websites
- Accreditation Resources
- Assessment Event Calendar
- Assessment News
- Measuring Quality Inventory
- Policy Analysis
- Environmental Scan

**Degree Qualifications Profile and Tuning**

[www.learningoutcomesassessment.org](http://www.learningoutcomesassessment.org)
Welcome to NILOA

Our April newsletter featuring NILOA updates, news, and upcoming conferences and programs is now available.

In the News | Archive

**Educators Point to a ‘Crisis of Mediocre Teaching’**

Wed, Apr 23, 2014 - 08:00 am
Vimal Patel in The Chronicle of Higher Education

Educators met and discussed support structures, culture, and rewards for effective teaching. Participants outlined institutions that are developing programs focusing on improving graduate student teaching to better prepare them for teaching careers.

New Issue of Assessment Update is Available Now

Tue, Apr 22, 2014 - 08:00 am


**Registering Toward Completion**

Mon, Apr 21, 2014 - 08:00 am
Allie Grasgreen in Inside Higher Ed

Cleveland State University introduced an initiative that will get more students to degree completion. Students are allowed to register for a year of courses before the fall semester begins in hopes of letting students plan for the whole year, set expectations, and see the end goal.
Measuring Quality in Higher Education

Introduction | Organization | Guidelines | Inventory | Additional Resources | About the Authors | Contact

Measuring Quality in Higher Education: An Inventory of Instruments, Tools and Resources

This website provides an inventory of resources designed to assist higher education faculty and staff in the challenging task of assessing academic and support programs as well as institutional effectiveness, more broadly. The items in this inventory are divided into four categories: instruments (examinations, surveys, etc.); software tools and platforms; benchmarking systems and data resources; projects, initiatives, and services. They can be searched using keywords or through a set of filters that include the unit of analysis, the targeted level of assessment, and the subject of measurement.

This inventory includes the monograph, "Measuring Quality: Surveys and Other Assessments of College Quality" (Borden & Owens, 2000), published jointly by the American Council on Education and the Association for Institutional Research. The original monograph included information about 50 assessment instruments (mostly examinations and surveys). The current inventory, in which the National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment is a partner, expands considerably the domain and range of assessment resources to include approximately 190 items. Whereas the earlier resource focused almost entirely on assessing student learning, this version also considers a broader range of quality issues for which there is public interest regarding institutional effectiveness, including the research/scholarship and outreach/engagement missions of institutions, as well as the alignment between higher education institutional goals and societal needs.

Caveat Emptor

With the rapid expansion of available higher education assessment instruments, tools, resources, and services, we have opted to take an inclusive approach to this inventory. Criteria for inclusion were expected and reported use for program and institutional assessment. Inclusion in the inventory does not indicate endorsement by the authors nor by any of the sponsors of this publication and inventory. In the guidance section, we seek to define an effective context for evaluating, selecting, and using such tools and resources.

The online inventory will be maintained and periodically updated through this website. We also intend to add the web site provisions for collecting and reporting examples of effective use, as well as research and reviews regarding specific instruments, tools, and resources. We hope to
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Knowing What Students Know and Can Do
The Current State of Student Learning Outcomes Assessment in U.S. Colleges and Universities

George D. Kuh, Natasha Jankowski, Stanley O. Ikenberry, & Jillian Kinzie

Abridged Report
www.learningoutcomeassessment.org

Full Report
www.learningoutcomeassessment.org

http://www.learningoutcomeassessment.org/knowingwhatstudentsknowandcando.html
Curriculum Mapping: The Process

• Focused on curriculum and program learning outcomes
• Two-dimensional matrix representing courses on one axis and outcomes on the other
• Faculty identify which courses address which learning outcomes

• Is it an individual process or one of consensus building?
• If two faculty members individually mapped the curriculum would they end up with the same map?
Examples

Metro State College of Denver
Curriculum Mapping Template
2008-09

Each required course should be linked with at least one Learning Objective and one level* by entering the appropriate letter(s) in the relevant cell. Programs are free to include other courses as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Objective 1</th>
<th>Learning Objective 2</th>
<th>Learning Objective 3</th>
<th>Learning Objective 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXX1234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX2345</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX3456</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX4567</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX5678</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX6789</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX7890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Levels - These are stated from the student's perspective and tied to Bloom's Taxonomy as much as possible.
V = discover (gain knowledge, comprehend information)
P = practice (apply knowledge gained to real situations, analyze issues and questions)
D = demonstrate (prepare a work product - exam, paper, presentation, etc. - that represents knowledge gained, application of said synthesis or evaluation of knowledge and ideas)

* a course might be identified as offering students the opportunity to do more than one level
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Introductory Course</th>
<th>Research Methods</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course A</th>
<th>Laboratory / Practicum Course</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course B</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course C</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course D</th>
<th>Capstone Course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLO 1: Disciplinary knowledge base (models and theories)</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 2: Disciplinary methods</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 3: Disciplinary applications</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Thinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 4: Analysis and use of evidence</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 5: Evaluation, selection, and use of sources of information</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 6: Written communication skills</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 7: Oral communication skills</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity / Values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 8: Disciplinary ethical standards</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 9: Academic integrity</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 10: Interpersonal and team skills</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 11: Self-regulation and metacognitive skills</td>
<td>Introduced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Reinforced</td>
<td>Mastery / Assessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Center for University Teaching, Learning, and Assessment
http://uwf.edu/cutla/

Sample Curriculum Map (Level of Skill)
Time to Share

• Have you done curriculum mapping?
• What was the process?
• What have you done with the map since?
Implications

• While seemingly a very straightforward process – identify learning outcomes and where addressed – there are many assumptions behind this potentially “simplistic task”

• Do our assumptions about alignment actually hold between the levels?
• If map to broad outcomes is consistency an issue or agreement on what the outcomes mean?
• What is standard of alignment – if one paper in one class enough within a program to say the outcome is addressed and met? How much is enough? What does it mean to introduce, reinforce, or meet mastery?
Why do curriculum mapping?

- What are we hoping to achieve through mapping the curriculum?
  - Alignment (within a program, between general education and institutional goals, etc.)
  - Identifying where and how particular outcomes are expected, explicitly taught for, and assessed (Ewell, 2013)
  - Backwards design the curriculum
  - Understand the nature and role of course pre-requisites

- Mapping as a lens – it is a way of seeing organizational structure
Questions CM can answer

- In the key courses, are all outcomes addressed, in a logical order?
- Do all the key courses address at least one outcome?
- Do multiple offerings of the same course address the same outcomes, at the same levels?
- Do some outcomes get more coverage than others?
- Are all outcomes first introduced and then reinforced?
- Are students expected to show high levels of learning too early?
- Do students get practice on all the outcomes before being assessed, e.g., in the capstone?
- Do all students, regardless of which electives they choose, experience a coherent progression and coverage of all outcomes?
- What do your electives, individually and collectively, contribute to the achievement of your student learning outcomes?
Uses of Curriculum Maps

• Provide an overview of the structure of the curriculum and the contribution of individual courses to the goals of the program
• Identify program strengths - student learning outcomes that are thoroughly addressed
• Help departments identify gaps (learning outcomes that are addressed by only a few courses)
• Suggest whether students take courses in an optimal sequence
• Advising tools that provide students with an overview of the role of each course in the curriculum and why some courses should be taken in a particular order.
What else can be mapped?

- Spatial elements: GIS Communication
- Content
- Structure
- Course-taking patterns
- Assignment timing

- Where does learning happen? Does a curriculum map inherently assume academic affairs at the expense of student affairs or other institutional elements?
### Center for University Teaching, Learning, and Assessment

[http://uwf.edu/cutla/](http://uwf.edu/cutla/)

## Sample Curriculum Map (Assignments & Embedded Assessments)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Introductory Course</th>
<th>Research Methods</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course A</th>
<th>Laboratory / Practicum Course</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course B</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course C</th>
<th>Advanced Content Course D</th>
<th>Capstone Course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLO 1: Disciplinary knowledge base (models and theories)</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 2: Disciplinary methods</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 3: Disciplinary applications</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Exam Questions</td>
<td>Class Project</td>
<td>Term Paper</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Thinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 4: Analysis and use of evidence</td>
<td>Term Paper</td>
<td>Lab Paper</td>
<td>Class Presentation</td>
<td>Term Paper</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 5: Evaluation, selection, and use of sources of information</td>
<td>Annotated Bibliography</td>
<td>Term Paper</td>
<td>Lab Paper</td>
<td>Term Paper</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 6: Written communication skills</td>
<td>Reflection Essays</td>
<td>Lab Paper</td>
<td>Term Paper</td>
<td>Term Paper</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 7: Oral communication skills</td>
<td>Class Presentation</td>
<td>Poster Session</td>
<td>Class Presentation</td>
<td>Class Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity / Values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 8: Disciplinary ethical standards</td>
<td>Reflective Paper</td>
<td>IRB/ACUC Proposal</td>
<td>Reflective Paper</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 9: Academic integrity</td>
<td>Class Assignments &amp; Exams</td>
<td>Exams &amp; Term Paper</td>
<td>Class Assignments &amp; Exams</td>
<td>Exams &amp; Term Paper</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 10: Interpersonal and team skills</td>
<td>Peer Review of Team Skills</td>
<td>Project Client Feedback</td>
<td>Peer Review of Team Skills</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 11: Self-regulation and metacognitive skills</td>
<td>Class Assignments &amp; Exams</td>
<td>Class Assignments &amp; Exams</td>
<td>Class Assignments &amp; Exams</td>
<td>Exams &amp; Term Paper</td>
<td>Capstone Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How can this improve student learning?

• By examining our assumptions:
  • How do we think about our students?
  • Where do we think learning occurs?
  • What is our theory of change?
Causal Statements

• The ability to make causal claims about our impact on students and their learning

• Institutional structures and support + student = enhanced learning

• Changes do not necessarily equal improvement
Difficult of Causal Statements

- Mobility of students
- Untracked changes
- Changes in courses add up to program level change
- Levels at which use occurs
- Longer than a year cycle
- Loosely coupled relationships
- Life
Theories of Change

- Why do we think the changes we make will lead to better outcomes?
- What is assumed in the changes we select as it relates to how students understand and navigate higher education?
For instance…

- Coverage and content
- Opportunities and support
- Intentional, coherent, aligned pathways

- Within each of these is the belief about a root cause – why students were not learning or not meeting the outcome and the mechanism by which the institution can help them succeed
A faculty chair in business examined the results of program outcomes for learners who completed the program capstone course and found that on one of the outcomes, learners were performing below what he regarded as the minimum threshold. Through the curriculum maps and alignments linking learning activities in individual courses to program outcomes in the capstone, he was able to identify across the entire program which courses had the strongest alignment to the outcome in question. From there, he was able to delve deeper into individual learning activities, to combine that information with additional data including course evaluations, and from the combined data to make detailed changes in specific courses and specific learning activities or assignments within courses. By the time participants in the revised courses and learning activities completed the capstone course, there was a measurable improvement in the particular outcome in question. The faculty chair involved in the process stated, “The concept of having an outcomes-based approach and having a strong theory of alignment all the way down to individual learning activities helps facilitate the use of assessment data.”
Reflective Questions to Guide Practice

- What are you trying to map and why?
- Who should be involved in the consensus process?
- Where does learning happen and are we capturing it?
- Are we mapping for reporting purposes or program improvement?
- What are we not seeing by applying this lens? How might we be able to capture alternate educational systems views?
Additional Resources


Thank You!

• Questions?
• Comments?
• Complaints?

njankow2@illinois.edu